

PLANNING PROPOSAL

378- 390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest

Prepared for **FUTURO NO.1 PTY LTD** 4 November 2022



URBIS STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS REPORT WERE:

Director	Stephen White
Associate Director	Sophy Purton
Consultant	Georgia McKenzie
Project Code	P0030652
Report Number	Final

Urbis acknowledges the important contribution that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people make in creating a strong and vibrant Australian society.

We acknowledge, in each of our offices, the Traditional Owners on whose land we stand.

All information supplied to Urbis in order to conduct this research has been treated in the strictest confidence. It shall only be used in this context and shall not be made available to third parties without client authorisation. Confidential information has been stored securely and data provided by respondents, as well as their identity, has been treated in the strictest confidence and all assurance given to respondents have been and shall be fulfilled.

© Urbis Pty Ltd 50 105 256 228

All Rights Reserved. No material may be reproduced without prior permission.

You must read the important disclaimer appearing within the body of this report.

urbis.com.au

CONTENTS

Exec		mary	
		ew	
	0	round and Planning Context	
		ontext	
		ive Concept Design	
	Plannir	ng Outcomes	2
1.		uction	
	1.1.	Overview	
	1.2.	Vision and Objectives	
	1.3.	Proposed Amendments to NSLEP 2013	
	1.4.	Report Structure	
	1.5.	Specialist Inputs	4
2.		ontext	
	2.1.	Site Description	
	2.2.	Existing Development	
	2.3.	Surrounding Development	
	2.4.	Locality Context	
	2.5.	Surrounding Road, Rail and Bus Network	1
3.	Backo	round and Pre-Lodgement Discussion	2
0.	3.1.	Engagement with North Sydney Council	
	3.2.	Sydney North Planning Panel – Rezoning Review Request	
	3.3.	Design Evolution and Concept Refinement	
			-
4.	Statute	ory Context	
	4.1.	North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013	10
		4.1.1. Land Use Zone	
		4.1.2. Building Height	
		4.1.3. Floor Space Ratio	
		4.1.4. Non- Residential Floor Space Ratio	
		4.1.5. Heritage Conservation	13
5.		tive Concept	
	5.1.	Indicative Concept Scheme	
	5.2.	Key Design Elements	
		5.2.1. Design Principles	
		5.2.2. Basement and Site Access	
		5.2.3. Indicative podium design and layout	
		5.2.4. Amenities Levels	
	5.0	5.2.5. Typical apartment layout	
	5.3.	Landscaping and Public Domain	
	5.4.	North Sydney Development Control Plan 2013	23
6.	Strateg	gic Context	
	6.1.	Greater Sydney Region Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities	
	6.2.	Our Greater Sydney 2056: North District Plan	
	6.3.	St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan	
	6.4.	North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement	
	6.5.	North Sydney Local Housing Strategy	
	6.6.	Future Transport Strategy 2056	33
7.	Planni	ing Proposal Assessment	
	7.1.	Part 1 - Objectives and Intended Outcomes	
	7.2.	Part 2 - Explanation of Provisions	
	7.3.	Part 3 - Justification	
		Section A – Need for the planning proposal	35

		Section B – Relationship to strategic planning framework	
		Assessment Criteria	
		Section C – Environmental, Social and Economic Impact	44
		7.3.1. Built Form and Context	44
		Surrounding context	44
		The urban block – future development scenarios	
		Tower placement and design	47
		Podium design	
		7.3.2. Overshadowing	
		7.3.3. Landscape	
		Public Domain	
		Site Landscaping	51
		7.3.4. Visual Impact	51
		7.3.5. Residential Amenity	51
		7.3.6. Traffic and Parking	53
		7.3.7. Acoustic	53
		7.3.8. Wind	54
		7.3.9. Heritage	54
		Section D – State and Commonwealth interests	55
	7.4.	Part 4 - Mapping	55
	7.5.	Part 5 - Community Consultation	57
	7.6.	Part 6 - Project Timeline	
8.	Concl	usion	58
Disclai	mer		

FIGURES

47
48
49
50
51
56

PICTURES

Picture 1: Pacific Highway frontage
Picture 2: Southern aerial
Picture 3: Western area
Picture 4: Eastern aerial
Picture 5: Ground floor
Picture 6: Level 1 17
Picture 7: Level 2
Picture 8: Level 3 17
Picture 9 Plant Level 4
Picture 10 Amenities Level 5
Picture 11 Amenities Level 6
Picture 12: Typical floor plate 1
Picture 13: Typical floor plate 2
Picture 14: 398 Pacific Highway
Picture 15: 402-420 Pacific Highway
Picture 16: 398 – 420 Pacific Highway – 2 towers
Picture 17: 398-420 Pacific Highway – single tower
Picture 18: As viewed from Hume St & Pac Highway 48
Picture 19: Western podium response
Picture 20: 9am – 11am on June 21st
Picture 21: 12pm – 3pm on June 21st
Picture 22: Existing HOB Map
Picture 23: Proposed HOB Map
Picture 24: Existing FSR Map
Picture 25: Proposed FSR Map
Picture 26: Existing Min Non-Residential FSR Map
Picture 27: Proposed Min Non-Residential FSR Map

TABLES

Table 1 Specialist Consultant Inputs	4
Table 2 Site Description	5
Table 3 : Summary of Council's comments	2
Table 4 Sydney North Planning Panel Comment and Response	6
Table 5 B4 Mixed Use Zone Objectives and Permissibility	10
Table 6 Key Numeric Details	14
Table 7 Consistency with the Greater Sydney Region Plan	25
Table 8 Consistency with the North District Plan	28
Table 9 Consistency with St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan	29
Table 10 Consistency with North Sydney LSPS	32
Table 11 Relationship to Strategic Planning Framework	36

Table 12 Consistency with SEPPs	37
Table 13 Consistency with Section 9.1 Directions	39
Table 14 Anticipated Project Timeline	57

APPENDIX

Urban Design Report
Survey Plan
Wind Environment Statement
Landscape Plans
Summary Compliance Assessment
Preliminary Site Investigation
Transport Assessment
Acoustic Report
Services Infrastructure Report
Proposed LEP Mapping
Draft Green Travel Plan
Site Specific DCP

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OVERVIEW

This Planning Proposal has been prepared by Urbis Pty Ltd (**Urbis**) on behalf of Futuro No.1 Pty Ltd (**the Proponent**) to initiate an amendment of the *North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013* (**NSLEP 2013**) as it relates to land at 378- 390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest (**the site**).

In accordance with the NSLEP 2013, the site is zoned B4 Mixed Use and has a maximum building height control of 16m and a minimum non-residential floor space control of 1.5:1. The site is not subject to a maximum floor space ratio and is not encumbered by any heritage items nor is it located within a heritage conservation area.

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the NSLEP 2013, by way of the following:

- Amend the maximum building height to RL176;
- Establish a maximum floor space ratio control of 7.5:1; and
- Amend the minimum non-residential floor space ration control to 2:1.

The Planning Proposal seeks to unlock the potential of the site as a large amalgamated landholding, to deliver a high-quality mixed-use development opposite the Crows Nest Metro Station; a location envisioned for increased density under the St Leonards/ Crows Nest Plan 2036 (**the 2036 Plan**). The future redevelopment will create enhanced commercial floor space and a mix of residential dwellings in a strategically valuable location.

The Planning Proposal has been prepared in accordance with Section 3.33 of *the Environmental Planning* and Assessment Act 1979 (**EP&A Act**) and the Department of Planning and Environment (**DPE**) guidelines **Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline*' September 2022.

BACKGROUND AND PLANNING CONTEXT

In November 2015, the State government committed to a new metro railway station at Crows Nest, which is currently under construction and set to open in 2024. This triggered a state-led investigation into the land use opportunities in the St Leonards and Crows Nest area.

On 7 July 2016, DPIE formally commenced a "strategic planning investigation" into Crows Nest, St Leonards and Artarmon industrial area. On 1 June 2017, Crows Nest and St Leonards was declared a "Planned Precinct." In August 2020, the 2036 Plan was endorsed by DPE.

The SLCN Plan will facilitate the urban renewal of St Leonards and Crows Nest with an expanding employment centre and growing residential community, supported by significant investment in infrastructure.

This Planning Proposal has been prepared in accordance with the recommended planning controls provided for the site in the 2036 Plan, including height in storeys (24 storeys), floor space ratio (7.5:1), street wall height (4 storeys), non-residential FSR (minimum 2:1), ground floor setback (3m) and solar protection to residential areas outside the Plan boundary.

As per the St Leonards and Crows Nest Special Infrastructure Contribution (**SIC**) Plan, SIC levies will be paid at the Development Application (**DA**) stage to fund infrastructure upgrades to support the increased density.

SITE CONTEXT

This Planning Proposal relates to land at 378-390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest within the North Sydney Local Government Area (**LGA**). The site includes five lots, forming a total site area of 1,309m², a substantial development parcel directly opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station.

The site has a primary frontage to Pacific Highway of approximately 37m and a secondary frontage to Hume Street of approximately 30m, whereby vehicle access is currently obtained. The site currently comprises commercial and retail land uses which are built to the site boundaries to a height of 2-3 storeys.

INDICATIVE CONCEPT DESIGN

The concept design includes the redevelopment of the site including a 4 storey commercial podium which wraps around the Pacific Highway and Hume Street frontage, activating and celebrating the corner. An increased setback of 5m will be provided at the intersection for a 3m wide break in the commercial podium, creating a private laneway and allowing activation deep within the podium that is protected from the external elements. The rear of the podium has an average weighted setback of 8m and includes a series of cascading landscaped terraces that suitably transitions to the western residential neighbours. The weighted setback provides visual interest and reduces the perceived visual bulk that would otherwise be associated with a 4 storey building form.

Cantilevering the commercial podium is a tall slender residential tower form of 16 storeys, creating two clearly distinguishable building languages that express their internal land uses.

Set between the commercial podium and the residential tower is two storeys dedicated to residential amenities, supported by a potential 'wellness' commercial tenant, providing a combination of internal and external areas that exudes a high-quality architectural outcome.

The vision includes substantial landscaping within the tall vertical proportions of the podium to deliver a leafy green character that spills out onto the street and breaks down the building composition. The break in the two built forms has been designed to achieve a sense of spaciousness within this level. The level 6 void area will allow for significant tree planting and high levels of solar access.

PLANNING OUTCOMES

Establishing new planning controls that enable mixed use redevelopment of the site has considerable planning merit, aligns with State and Local Government policy, would generate significant public benefit and fits in with the evolving character of Crows Nest town centre.

The Planning Proposal would achieve the following key planning outcomes and community benefits:

- Delivers on the State Governments vision for the St Leonards and Crows Nest Precinct: The proposal responds to the State Governments vision for Crows Nest, as reflected in the newly adopted 2036 Plan. It maximises the site opportunity for a range of uses, including retail, commercial and residential within a strategic centre that is well serviced by public transport. It will generate employment and housing opportunities within immediate proximity to major employment, retail, health and education facilities and excellent public transport connectivity to other major centres.
- Amalgamation of a substantial development parcel to deliver a tower site: The Planning Proposal
 includes a substantial development parcel of 1,309m², unlocking the potential of the site to deliver a
 tower form as envisaged by the 2036 Plan. The built form approach demonstrates that the development
 parcel is capable of comfortably accommodating a tower floor plate (615m²) consistent with that
 envisaged for tall tower forms.
- Contributes to the activation of an 18-hour economy: The sites' location and the concept design
 presents a desirable opportunity for future food and beverage tenancies to occupy this space,
 contributing to the night time economy.
- Increases active retail and commercial streetscape: Generous glazed floor to ceiling heights, internal laneway ways and the dual street frontage positively contributes to the activation of this key corner, encourages pedestrian activity and strengthens the pedestrian linkages.
- Enhances the public domain outcome and greening of the street: The Planning Proposal delivers on the vision for public domain improvements to Pacific Highway and Hume Street with setbacks to accommodate expansive paved footpaths and street tree planting. The 5m setback at the intersection creates a pedestrian node which celebrates the corner.
- Co-locates density to take advantage of public transport infrastructure: The site is ideally located to delivery the density envisaged by the Plan, leveraging off the State Governments investment in Sydney Metro and contributing to the urban renewal of this key strategic centre.

It is demonstrated that there is clear strategic and site-specific merit in progressing the Planning Proposal and the intended outcomes. As per the ministerial direction relating to development within the 2036 Plan boundary, it is recommended that the Planning Proposal be forward it to DPE for Gateway Determination in accordance with the EP&A Act.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. OVERVIEW

This Planning Proposal has been prepared by Urbis Pty Ltd (**Urbis**) on behalf of Futuro No.1 Pty Ltd (**the Proponent**) to initiate an amendment of the *North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013* (**NSLEP 2013**) as it relates to land at 378- 390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest (**the site**).

In accordance with the NSLEP 2013, the site is zoned B4 Mixed Use and has a maximum building height control of 16m and a minimum non-residential floor space ratio (**FSR**) control of 1.5:1. The site is not subject to a maximum FSR and is not encumbered by any heritage items nor is it located within a heritage conservation area.

The site does not contain any items of European, Indigenous or environmental heritage. A group of locally listed heritage buildings (the 'Higgins building') are located to the south of the site, on the southern side of Hume Street.

1.2. VISION AND OBJECTIVES

The Planning Proposal seeks to unlock the potential of the site as an amalgamated landholding, to deliver a high-quality mixed-use development opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station, a location envisioned for density uplift by Council and DPE. The future redevelopment will create enhanced commercial floor space and a mix of residential dwellings in a strategically valuable location.

The key objectives of the Planning Proposal are to:

- Realise the development potential of the site as envisaged by the 2036 Plan;
- Provide compatible mix of land uses that contribute to the creation of a vibrant and active community, including residential, commercial, retail to co-locate close to the Crows Nest Metro Station; and
- Integrate the site with the broader area through improvements to public domain spaces and streetscape activation.

1.3. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO NSLEP 2013

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the NSLEP 2013, by way of the following:

- Amend the maximum building height to RL176.
- Establish a maximum floor space ratio control of 7.5:1.
- Amend the minimum non-residential floor space ratio control to 2:1.

The Planning Proposal retains the site's B4 Mixed Use zone.

1.4. **REPORT STRUCTURE**

The Planning Proposal has been prepared in accordance with Section 3.33(1) and (2) of *the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (**EP& Act**) and the Department of Planning and Environment guidelines *'Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline'* dated September 2022.

The relevant sections of the report are listed below:

- Section 2: Detailed description of the site, the existing development and local and regional context.
- Section 3: Project background including pre-planning proposal advice from Council.
- Section 4: Current statutory planning framework relevant to the site, including the State and local planning controls and development contributions.
- Section 5: Key features of the indicative development concept plan associated with the requested Planning Proposal.
- Section 6: State and local strategic planning policies relevant to the site and the Planning Proposal.

- Section 7: comprehensive description and assessment of the requested Planning Proposal in accordance with the DPE guidelines.
- Section 8: Conclusion.

1.5. SPECIALIST INPUTS

This Planning Proposal is accompanied by the following specialist reports, which provide an analysis of the site complexities and characteristics.

Table 1 Specialist Consultant Inputs

Report	Consultant	Appendix
Urban Design Report	Woods Bagot	Appendix A
Survey Plan	C.M.S Surveyors and Gary Edwards & Associates	Appendix B
Wind Environment Statement Report	Windtech Consultants	Appendix C
Landscape Plan	Urbis Landscape	Appendix D
North Sydney Summary Compliance Assessment	Urbis	Appendix E
Preliminary Site Investigation	Aargus	Appendix F
Transport Assessment	Ason Group	Appendix G
Acoustic Report	Stantec Australia	Appendix H
Services Infrastructure Report	Stantec Australia	Appendix I
LEP Mapping	Urbis	Appendix J
Draft Green Travel Plan	Ason Group	Appendix K
Site Specific DCP	Urbis	Appendix L

2. SITE CONTEXT

2.1. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at 378- 390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest and is within the North Sydney Local Government Area (**LGA**).

The site has a primary frontage to Pacific Highway of approximately 37m and a secondary frontage to Hume Street of approximately 30m, whereby vehicle access is currently obtained. The site currently site comprises commercial and retail land uses with a height of 2-3 storeys which are built to the boundary.

The key features of the site are summarised in the following table.

Table 2 Site Description

Feature	Description
Street Address	378- 390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest
Legal Description	 378 Pacific Highway – Lot 1 in Deposited Plan 577047
	 382 Pacific Highway – Lot 5 in Deposited Plan 4320 and Lot 1, DP 573543
	 388 Pacific Highway – Lot 4 in Deposited Plan 663560
	 390 Pacific Highway – Lot 1 in Deposited Plan 177051.
Site Area	1,309m ²
Site Dimensions	 37.36m frontage to Pacific Highway
	 4.27m corner splay to Pacific Highway and Hume Street
	 30.55m frontage to Hume Street
	 39.72m southern boundary
	 31.29m western boundary.
Easements and Restrictions	The site is not affected by easements or restrictions.
Site Topography	The site has a gradual slope of 400mm from south-east (RL91) to south-west (RL90.6) and south-west to north-west (RL88.9).
Vegetation	There is no vegetation on the site. There are three mature street trees along Hume Street frontage and one mature street tree along the Pacific Highway frontage.

Refer to Survey Plan contained within **Appendix B** for site details. Aerial images of the site and site photos are provided below.

Figure 1: Location Plan



Source: Urbis

Figure 2: Aerial Photograph



Source: Urbis

2.2. EXISTING DEVELOPMENT

The site currently comprises commercial and retail land uses with a height of 2-3 storeys which are built to the boundary.

Figure 3: Site Photos



Picture 1: Pacific Highway frontage



Picture 3: Western area Source: Woods Bagot



Picture 2: Southern aerial



Picture 4: Eastern aerial

2.3. SURROUNDING DEVELOPMENT

The immediately surrounding development includes:

North:

- The site adjoins 398 Pacific Highway to the north, a recently constructed 6 storey shop top housing development with ground floor commercial and upper-level residential apartments. The development is built to the boundary.
- Further north is the St Leonards Town Centre a major commercial, retail and public transport centre.

East:

- The site's primary frontage is to Pacific Highway. On the eastern side of the Pacific Highway is the Crows Nest Metro site, which is currently under construction.
- The DPE granted consent for the concept building envelope for the Crows Nest Over Station Development (OSD) on 23 December 2020 (SSD 9579). The OSD includes three buildings of 21 storeys (Building A), 17 storeys (Building B) and 9 storeys (Building C).
- Building A is directly adjacent to the site and will developed for commercial purposes. The southern
 portion of the building envelope steps down from RL175 to RL129.

South:

 To the south of the site and on the southern side of Hume Street is a group of locally listed heritage buildings (Higgins Buildings). Under the 2036 Plan, this group of heritage buildings is mapped for heights of 18 storeys with an FSR of 5.5:1 and therefore has the potential to be redeveloped.

West:

- The western/ rear boundary of the site interfaces with the R3 Medium Density Residential zone. These properties are currently developed with single dwelling houses with outbuildings in the south and multi-dwelling housing in the north. The dwellings have frontage to Nicholson Street. The corner of Nicholson and Hume Street has an RL of 89.5, being 1m lower than the rear of the site. Nicholson slopes towards the north, with an approximate 2m decline from 29 Nicholson Street to 33 Nicholson Street.
- Under the 2036 Plan, this block is mapped as having an overall height of 4 storeys, an FSR of 2:1, a 3 storey street wall height to Hume Street and Nicholson Street and a 3m front setback to Nicholson Street.

2.4. LOCALITY CONTEXT

The site and surrounding locality forms part of the St Leonards and Crows Nest Precinct. The 2036 Plan is a State Government endorsed strategic planning document that was finalised in August 2020. The 2036 Plan will facilitate the urban renewal of St Leonards and Crows Nest for an expanding employment centre and growing residential community in the suburbs of St Leonards, Greenwich, Naremburn, Wollstonecraft, Crows Nest, and Artarmon.

The precinct has been planned to provide:

- Crows Nest Metro Station over-station development for a significant number of new jobs, as well as housing and public domain upgrades.
- Funding for open space and infrastructure upgrades through a new State infrastructure levy.
- A variety of mixed-use sites, both for short-term and long-term development.

The surrounding locality is at the start of its urban renewal transformation and is set to undergo intensive urban transformation, with improved public domain outcomes, increased pedestrian linkages, infrastructure improvements and new tower forms.

Figure 4: Local Context



Source: Urbis

2.5. SURROUNDING ROAD, RAIL AND BUS NETWORK

The site is well connected to significant road and rail infrastructure, including the Pacific Highway, the Crows Nest Metro Station (opening 2024) and various bus routes, providing direct access to the Sydney CBD, Bondi Junction, Epping, Chatswood and Gladesville.

Sydney Metro is Australia's largest public transport project, delivering 31 metro stations between Rouse Hill in the north-west to Bankstown in the south-west. Construction for the Crows Nest Metro Station began in January 2021 with the service expected to be operational in 2024. Trains will depart every 4 minutes, connecting Crows Nest to Barangaroo in 5 minutes and Martin Place in 7 minutes.

Figure 5: Transport Network Map

Source: Urbis

3. BACKGROUND AND PRE-LODGEMENT DISCUSSION

3.1. ENGAGEMENT WITH NORTH SYDNEY COUNCIL

The Proponent has had ongoing discussions with Council since 28 May 2021, prior to the lodgement of the Planning Proposal.

A Planning Proposal was formally lodged with the Council on 27 August 2021 and was subsequently amended in December 2021, following post-lodgement feedback.

A summary of the key issues and how the proposed concept design addresses those matters are provided in the table below.

Issue	North Sydney Council Comment	Response
Northern Setback	Council officers raised concerns with the proposed 6m setback above the podium along the northern elevation. The SLCN 2036 Plan provides the potential for the adjacent site to the north to also redevelop to 24-storeys. The concept design must take into account the potential for two 24- storey towers and provide adequate building separation/acceptable interface between the two towers. The proposed setback to the north is substantially below minimum ADG setback/building separation requirements (24m) and unfairly "borrows" from the development potential of the site to the north to meet adequate building separation at a point in the future. Council recommended that a 12m setback should be applied however a weighted setback with an average variance of 2m may be accepted.	Complies The proposed concept envelope adopts a 6m setback to the northern boundary which has been designed as a non-habitable façade. There is potential to design the façade with pop out windows or the like which does not result in any adverse privacy impacts. As the setback is fully compliant with the minimum building separation under the ADG, it can not be said that the development "unfairly borrows" from the neighbouring site. The apartment layout includes cross-through apartments with an east-west orientation so there is no reliance on the north façade for ventilation or outlook. Further, Woods Bagot have undertaken substantially testing of the potential future development scenarios for this urban block. Given the size of the northern lot, this site would not be capable of developing in its own right and therefore it is unlikely that a tall tower development would occur. This is discussed in Section 7.3.1 and further detailed in the Urban Design Report (Appendix A).

Issue	North Sydney Council Comment	Response
Western elevation	The proponents advised that the terracing of the podium along the western elevation considers the future potential redevelopment of the adjacent site to the west. However, concerns were raised by Council staff with respect to the proposed setback of the podium along the western elevation and compliance with ADG building separation/privacy requirements. Particular attention was drawn to section 2F of the ADG, which requires office windows and balconies to be considered as 'habitable' spaces when measuring building separation requirements between commercial and residential uses. It also requires increased setbacks at the boundary where there is a change in zone. Consideration must be given to the objectives and actions of the SLCN 2036 Plan as they relate to sites in transition areas between high and low-rise developments. Variations in height, FSR, solar access and setbacks should ensure the level of transition from high density development to low density development is appropriate and minimises overshadowing.	Generally compliant The built form controls pertaining to transitions and interfaces within the 2036 Plan specifically refers to height transitions to heritage conservation areas. The site does not adjoin a conservation area. It is acknowledged that the site does adjoin an R3 Medium Density Residential zone. Inherently there exists a challenge arising from the 2036 plan due to the interface of a 24 storey height control with a 4 storey height control. The overarching objective is to provide for a transition between zoning boundaries, as evident by clause 2.4.3 (P7) of the NS DCP. In accordance with the NS DCP building height plane, the concept design appropriately responds to the western residential neighbour by providing a terraced podium form that is compliant with the 45 degree height plane. This urban design outcome and relationship to the western neighbours is consistent with the established built form response along this urban block. The uses at the lower level of the podium consist of back of house and car park ramping, being non- habitable uses and therefore will have no windows or openings. The Planning Proposal is fully compliant with the controls specified in the 2036 Plan. The proposed urban design response and adoption of the DCP height plan rather than the ADG separation controls, results in a superior outcome as it provides for a softening of the built form and reduces the appearance of the scale

Issue	North Sydney Council Comment	Response
Through-site	The proponents explained that their rationale	of the podium, comparable to a sheer 4 storey podium wall. The proposed tower form includes an average 8m setback (6m in the south and 10m in the north). This is considered an appropriate design response given those dwellings to the west and any future development would be substantially separated and there is no direct horizontal relationship. This is further discussed in Sections 7.3.1 and 7.3.5. Complies
link	for providing an increased setback at the south eastern corner of the site is to provide for an activated corner and internal laneway that reinforces the pedestrian crossing to Crows Nest Metro Station. Whilst activation of the ground level is supported, the proposal should, as a priority, improve the building's interface with the existing street network (i.e. Pacific Highway and Hume Street frontages). Activating the street internally should not be at the expense of activating the street.	Complies The indicative concept includes a 3m setback to Pacific Highway, whilst retaining the 5m setback at the Hume Street and Pacific Highway intersection and the 3m internal laneway.
Solar access/ overshadowing	The proponent advised that the concept scheme is compliant with ADG solar access requirements. However, it is unclear whether the impacts arising from future potential development on adjacent sites, including the overshadowing impacts of Crows Nest Over Station Development (OSD) have been considered, particularly on east facing apartments. On-site amenity should not be considered in isolation to adjoining future development. The objectives of the SLCN 2036 Plan require planning proposals to consider the cumulative impacts of new development on the current surrounding built form environment. A detailed solar analysis will be required to demonstrate compliance with the SLCN 2036	Complies Solar access diagrams and overshadowing diagrams have been prepared by Woods Bagot and is included at Appendix A. Solar access – the proposed envelope and indicative floor plate has been tested and confirmed that 70% of the apartments can obtain the required 2 hours solar access. Overshadowing – solar access to the immediately adjoining residential properties to the west is maintained between 1pm – 3pm. It is noted that in the morning hours, these properties would be overshadowed by the OSD. The

Issue	North Sydney Council Comment	Response
	 Plan's solar access controls, which require new development retain: At least 2 hours solar access between 9am – 3pm to residential areas inside the precinct boundary; and Solar access to residential areas outside the boundary the whole time between 9am – 3pm (i.e. no impact) 	proposed tower form sits within that approved shadow envelope and therefore does not result in any greater shadow impacts. Overshadowing by the building envelope does not extend beyond the Plan boundary. Solar access and overshadowing is further discussed in Section 7.3.2
Wind	The proponent advised that a preliminary wind analysis had been undertaken and that an improved outcome could be achieved through the design of the building. The analysis should consider existing wind conditions as well as future potential wind impacts, including those arising from the proposed development itself.	Noted. A Preliminary Wind Analysis has been prepared by Windtech and is provided at Appendix C . Mitigation measures have been incorporated into the concept design. This is further discussed in Section 7.3.9.
General	Council has received numerous enquiries for sites within the St Leonards and Crows Nest Precinct seeking to challenge the height and density (FSR) controls of the SLCN 2036 Plan. Any proposal seeking significant variations to the maximum height and FSR controls has the potential to establish a precedent for significant non-compliances with the SLCN 2036 Plan. This has the potential to result in cumulative amenity impacts and a level of population growth and demand for infrastructure assets and services that cannot be provided under established infrastructure delivery programs and funding mechanisms. The SLCN 2036 Plan and accompanying Special Infrastructure Contribution (SIC) scheme is based on an assumed level of growth within the precinct and any significant non-compliance with the SLCN 2036 Plan has the potential to undermine the integrity of this strategic planning framework.	Complies The Planning Proposal has a floor space ratio of 7.5:1 and complies with the SLCN 2036 Plan.

3.2. SYDNEY NORTH PLANNING PANEL – REZONING REVIEW REQUEST

A Rezoning Review request was lodged with DPE on 10 May 2022. On 8 August 2022, the Sydney North Planning Panel (the Panel) determined that the proposal should be submitted for a Gateway determination because the proposal has demonstrated strategic and site-specific merit.

The Panel noted that prior to proceeding, the Planning Proposal was required to be updated to address the interface issues and amenity impacts between the site and the western site as well as exploring opportunities to increase the amenity to the site from the north. A key aspect of the Planning Proposal which the Panel sought to retain was the significant benefits, actual and perceived, associated with the substantial landscaped void.

The Proponent met with the Panel on two separate occasions, to refine the scheme to ensure a suitable urban outcome.

A response to the Panel's comments is provided in the table below.

 Table 4 Sydney North Planning Panel Comment and Response

Panel Comment	Proponent Response	
Further consideration should be given to increasing the set back to the western	The original planning proposal included a 6m setback to the western boundary for the residential tower.	
boundary.	The amended planning proposal has been designed to adopt an 8m average weighted setback. This is a common method used within North Sydney Council and is stipulated within the North Sydney DCP 2013.	
	The average 8m weighted setback allows for the western façade to include a modulated building form, with three vertical proportions, comprising a 6m setback, a 8m setback and a 10m setback.	
	The location of the 6m setback is within the southern section of the building, where the podium includes a higher proportion of built elements and therefore retention of the setback in this location ensures that the podium vertical plant elements remain secondary in the view, with the overhang increasing the definition between podium and tower.	
	The location of the 10m setback is in the northern section, where there is no building form within the podium level. This allows for the substantial landscaping to be exposed to the sky and will be more readily visible from the west.	
	The reduced building form in this northern location will also increase amenity at the podium level and to those western neighbours from the north.	
	The modulated western façade and variable setback is a well considered design response which provides increased visual benefits to the western neighbours by breaking down the building form and providing for a high degree of articulation which would otherwise not be achieved with a single setback alignment.	

north. 12m notch. The notch sought to provide amenity to the northern apartments. The floor plate layout in the original proposal included tw primarily single orientated apartments, with the access to daylight and ventilation providing from within the northern notch as illustrated below. Image: the second	Panel Comment	Proponent Response
 maximising the amenity provided from the north. extending for a length of 13m, which was separated by a 12m notch. The notch sought to provide amenity to the northern apartments. The floor plate layout in the original proposal included tw primarily single orientated apartments, with the access to daylight and ventilation providing from within the northern notch as illustrated below. Image: the second s		form significantly improves the transition and provides greater separation to those western neighbours, in the
primarily single orientated apartments, with the access to daylight and ventilation providing from within the northern notch as illustrated below. Image: state of the state of	maximising the amenity provided from the	extending for a length of 13m, which was separated by a 12m notch. The notch sought to provide amenity to the
The Panel raised concerns regarding the internal interface between these apartments and the level of amenity afforded to the future residents. This Planning Proposal has been amended to 'relocate' in notch to the western boundary and combining the two northern apartments into a single dual orientated 2 bedroom apartment, which eliminates any internal interface		The floor plate layout in the original proposal included two primarily single orientated apartments, with the access to daylight and ventilation providing from within the northern notch as illustrated below.
between these apartments and the level of amenity afforded to the future residents. This Planning Proposal has been amended to 'relocate' notch to the western boundary and combining the two northern apartments into a single dual orientated 2 bedroom apartment, which eliminates any internal interfa		Creation 3.00 Beneficial and the second sec
notch to the western boundary and combining the two northern apartments into a single dual orientated 2 bedroom apartment, which eliminates any internal interfa		
		northern apartments into a single dual orientated 2 bedroom apartment, which eliminates any internal interface
This provides for ample daylight and solar access from b the east and west and maximises cross ventilation. The apartment layout in the amended floor plate will yield a much higher quality and higher amenity apartment.		apartment layout in the amended floor plate will yield a
The typical floor plates is shown below.		The typical floor plates is shown below.

Panel Comment

Proponent Response

	1 Bed 55.5m ²	1 Bed 55.5m ²	
2 Bed			1 Bed 60m²
104m²			
			Bed 3m²

The original planning proposal had a 6m setback for 13m of the façade length, with a total façade length of 20.4m. This Planning Proposal has a northern facade length of 16.4m.

Whilst the entire facade is setback 6m, the overall length is reduced from that which was submitted in the original scheme. The amendment relocates the void space and combines the two original apartments into a single dual aspect apartment which provides for greater amenity.

This proposed amendment not only provides for improved amenity for this apartment but allows for a 10m setback to the west in this location, further exposing the landscaped podium, and allowing for medium sized trees to sit beyond the tower form, with access to the sky.

The reduction in built form will also significantly improve the view of the landscaped podium from the west as well as providing for increased separation and modulation in the building form.

The site-specific DCP includes specific design controls which are to be incorporated within any detailed DA to provide a degree of activation along this façade and to avoid the appearance of a blank facade.

The planning proposal maintains the 11.3m high vertical void between the podium and the tower. This is retained as per the original planning proposal.

and associated substantial landscaped garden should remain as part of the proposal given the significant actual and perceived benefits provided to the surrounding development. The planning proposal should be A site specific DCP has been prepared and is provided at accompanied by a site-specific DCP which Appendix L. gives full consideration to site specific issues including, amongst other things, building envelope and parking provision, as well as interface issues including set backs.

The Panel believed that the vertical void

3.3. DESIGN EVOLUTION AND CONCEPT REFINEMENT

Since lodgement of the original Planning Proposal, the design has evolved, and the concept has been refined in response to the key issues raised by Council and the Sydney North Planning Proposal. The key issues relate to:

- 1. Floor space ratio this has been reduced to comply with the requirements of the SLCN Plan
- 2. Building height this has been reduced to RL176 and complies with the requirements of the SLCN Plan
- 3. Building setbacks generally comply with ADG, the DCP or provide a suitable site-specific response.

Other matters for consideration were raised including site amalgamation, overshadowing and the proposed internal laneway.

The Proponent has been in discussions with adjoining landowners, however a commercial decision proved unviable. It is noted that the 2036 Plan does not require minimum site areas or amalgamation of specific land parcels to occur for development of towers to be progressed.

The Proponent has secured a substantial land holding and the amalgamation of these four land parcels and redevelopment of this site for a tall tower form is consistent with the objectives and intent of the 2036 Plan.

The building envelope as it stands is compliant with the overshadowing requirements of the 2036 Plan, in that it does not result in overshadowing beyond the Plan boundary and a complaint degree of solar access is retained for those properties to the west. Further detailed solar studies would be undertaken at the DA stage.

In regards to the internal laneway, the proposed urban design outcome demonstrates one way in which the site may be developed at the future DA stage. The internal planning of the podium can be further refined and finessed at the detailed DA stage, noting that any future DA will need to comply with the minimum non-residential FSR of 2:1, as per the proposed LEP amendments.

The design evolution is shown in Figure 6 below.

Figure 6: Design evolution



Source: Woods Bagot

4. STATUTORY CONTEXT

4.1. NORTH SYDNEY LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2013

The NSLEP 2013 is the principal Environmental Planning Instrument governing and guiding development within the North Sydney LGA. The NSLEP 2013 was gazetted on 13 September 2013.

4.1.1. Land Use Zone

In accordance with the NSLEP 2013 and as illustrated in Figure 7 below, the site is zoned B4 Mixed Use. Table 5 details the zone objectives and lane use permissibility.

Figure 7: Land Use Zoning Map



Source: Urbis

Table 5 B4 Mixed Use Zone Objectives and Permissibility

Objectives	Permissibility
Objectives of the zone	To provide a mixture of compatible land uses.
	To integrate suitable business, office, residential, retail and other development in accessible locations so as to maximise public transport patronage and encourage walking and cycling.
	To create interesting and vibrant mixed use centres with safe, high quality urban environments with residential amenity.
	To maintain existing commercial space and allow for residential development in mixed use buildings, with non-residential uses concentrated on the lower levels and residential uses predominantly on the higher levels.

Objectives	Permissibility
Permitted without consent	Nil
Permitted with consent	Amusement centres; Backpackers' accommodation; Boarding houses; Car parks; Centre-based child care facilities; Commercial premises; Community facilities; Educational establishments; Entertainment facilities; Function centres; Hostels; Hotel or motel accommodation; Information and education facilities; Medical centres; Oyster aquaculture; Passenger transport facilities; Places of public worship; Recreation areas; Recreation facilities (indoor); Registered clubs; Residential flat buildings; Respite day care centres; Restricted premises; Roads; Seniors housing; Serviced apartments; Sex services premises; Shop top housing; Signage; Tank-based aquaculture; Vehicle repair stations; Veterinary hospitals
Prohibited	Pond-based aquaculture; Any other development not specified in item 2 or 3

4.1.2. Building Height

Pursuant to Clause 4.3 of the NSLEP 2013 and as illustrated in Figure 8 below, the site is subject to a maximum building height control of 16m.

ot LEY ST 0 Q2 RL180 **RL127** CLARKE 02 PRCIAL CHAY 12 к NICHOLSON ST RL155 Subject Site 1 8.5 K 10 M 12 0 15 к K 02 16 HUMEST Q2 20 U1 30 RL6 his Ph 2021 PSMA Au tralia Ltd. HERE Ltd. ABS. Prod 256 228

Figure 8: Height of Building Map

Source: Urbis

4.1.3. Floor Space Ratio

Pursuant to Clause 4.4 of the NSLEP 2013 and as illustrated in Figure 9 below, the site is not encumbered by an FSR control.



Source: Urbis

4.1.4. Non- Residential Floor Space Ratio

Pursuant to Clause 4.4A of the NSLEP 2013, and as illustrated in Figure 10 below, the site is subject to a minimum non-residential FSR of 1.5:1.

Figure 10: Non- Residential Floor Space Ratio Map



4.1.5. Heritage Conservation

In accordance with the NSLEP 2013, the site is not encumbered by any heritage affectations nor is it located within a heritage conservation area.

The site does not contain any items of European, Indigenous or environmental heritage. A group of locally listed heritage buildings (the 'Higgins building') are located to the south of the site, on the southern side of Hume Street. They are referred to as heritage items 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171.





Source: Urbis

5. INDICATIVE CONCEPT

The intended outcome of this Planning Proposal is to amend the NSLEP 2013 to allow uplift on the site as follows:

- Establish a site-specific height control, with a maximum height of RL176;
- Establish a site-specific FSR control, with a maximum FSR of 7.5:1;
- Establish a site-specific minimum non-residential floor space ratio control, with a minimum FSR of 2:1.

This Planning Proposal has been prepared to give effect to the development controls and intended development outcome identified for the site within the 2036 Plan.

5.1. INDICATIVE CONCEPT SCHEME

An Urban Design Report, incorporating an indicative development concept scheme by Woods Bagot supporting the Planning Proposal is attached in **Appendix A**.

The indicative concept scheme demonstrates how the site could be redeveloped in alignment with the controls sought under the 2036 Plan, including building height in storey, residential FSR, non-residential FSR, street wall height and setback.

The proposal envisions a mixed-use development with basement car parking, retail tenancies at the ground level and commercial offices within the podium levels. Residential apartments are contained within the slender tower form above the podium form.

Key numerical details of the Indicative Concept Scheme are provided in the table below.

Table 6 Key Numeric Details

Element	Indicative Development Outcome
Land Use	Retail, commercial and residential.
Gross Floor Area	 Commercial: 2,618m² Residential: 6,800m² Indicative yield: 72 apartments.
Floor Space Ratio	7.5:1 (inclusive of 2:1 non-residential floor space)
Building height	24 storeys RL176
Built Form	 4 storey commercial / retail podium 4 levels of amenities/ plant room at the podium level 16 storeys of residential apartments within the tower.

Figure 12: Artist Impression from the eastern corner of Hume Street and Pacific Highway



Source: Woods Bagot

5.2. KEY DESIGN ELEMENTS

The submitted Urban Design Report prepared by Woods Bagot (**Appendix A**) include the following key urban design elements.

5.2.1. Design Principles

The key guiding principles that have informed the built form are discussed below.

- Feet First: Clear hierarchy of routes and laneways, where the pedestrian experiences is brought to the forefront.
- Sense of Place: Envelope arrangement and massing informed by environmental performance to reduce energy use from the outside.
- Nature Abundant: Maximising opportunities within the building for extensive climate appropriate planting and biophilic urban design response which benefits the local environment and well being or workers and residents on the site.
- Enabling the 18 Hour City: Active frontages and passive surveillance are provided along Pacific Highway and Hume Street frontages. The streets will be activated by a food and beverage anchor tenant on the corner and laneway eateries. Mixing street frontages with retail and food and beverage tenancies integrated into the finer grain laneways will contribute to the local character.

These guiding principles have informed the urban design response to the overarching planning objectives set out in the 2036 Plan, as illustrated below.

Figure 13: Key design principles informing the response to the 2036 Plan objectives



Source: Woods Bagot

5.2.2. Basement and Site Access

Vehicular access to the site will be retained off Hume Street. The basement will contain residential parking and access for deliveries and loading. The parking entrance is identified as 'A' in the site access plan below.

Figure 14: Site Access Plan



Source: Woods Bagot

5.2.3. Indicative podium design and layout

The four storey commercial podium is setback 3m from Pacific Highway and 0m to Hume Street. The ground floor features a retail anchor at the corner and food and beverage tenancies to activate the Pacific Highway frontage. Level 1 features a food and beverage tenancy with stair access to the ground floor.

Levels 1, 2 and 3 of the podium are proposed to be commercial office space. The commercial space will be flexible and have access to the landscape terrace. The commercial floorplate can accommodate a range of business types.

As illustrated below, the podium form is broken into two segments. This includes an anchor tenant that has a 5m eastern setback and is built to the western boundary for the full 4 storeys. The podium design reflects the intent of the 2036 Plan, which indicates a 4 storey podium on the site and a 3 storey podium on the western site, providing a transition of podium heights down Hume Street. It also allows for the site to have two prominent street addresses, which increases the ability of the site to activate the street.

The full podium response to Hume Street provides a level of visual and acoustic privacy to the rear terracing which is associated with the main podium form. These two separate elements will be interconnected at the upper levels.

Figure 15: Podium design





Picture 5: Ground floor



Picture 7: Level 2

Source: Woods Bagot

5.2.4. Amenities Levels

The concept scheme includes three levels dedicated to providing a high quality communal open space setting for the residents and is complemented by a commercial "lifestyle" tenant providing future residents and workers with that added level of luxury. The amenities level also includes mechanical plant and the space required to support the pool as well as providing adequate depth to enable substantial tree planting.

The amenities levels are demonstrated on the plans below.



Commercial	
	+
Commercial Terrace	

Picture 8: Level 3





Picture 10 Amenities Level 5





Source: Woods Bagot

Picture 9 Plant Level 4

The amenities levels are situated between the commercial podium and the cantilevered tower. The level 6 void area will increase solar amenity for terrace areas, open views from the street to the sky and allow for deep soil planting of trees to act as a buffer for visual, acoustic and wind protection, as demonstrated on the plan extract below.

Figure 17: Amenity Level Study



Source: Woods Bagot



Source: Woods Bagot

5.2.5. Typical apartment layout

The residential tower above is stepped 3m back from the podium base. The building has been designed as two separate elements so that the tower 'floats above the commercial podium' on pillars. The floor plates and orientation of apartments have been designed to capture views, optimise solar access and respond to acoustic conditions on the Pacific Highway. Each apartment is provided with a balcony or landscaped terrace as private open space.

The indicative design for the tower floor plate has an approximate GFA of 425m² and is provided in the figure below.

Figure 19: Typical Tower Level





Picture 12: Typical floor plate 1



Source: Woods Bagot

5.3. LANDSCAPING AND PUBLIC DOMAIN

A Landscape Design Report prepared by Urbis Landscape accompanies this Planning Proposal and is attached at **Appendix D**. The Landscape Design report illustrates a high-quality landscape outcome, achieving substantial landscaped terraces across multiple levels of the site that are available for both private and communal use for future residents of the building.

The Landscape and Public Domain vision is outlined in Figure 20 below.

Key features of the landscape design include:

- Tree planting along the Pacific Highway.
- Planting along the public plaza on the corner of the Pacific Highway and Hume Street.
- Planting on the cascade terraces to the rear of the site on the south western boundary.
- Planter boxes on the amenities level.
Figure 20: Landscape and Public Domain Vision



Source: Urbis Landscape

The indicative concept realises the State Government's vision to revitalise and rejuvenate the St Leonards and Crows Nest area. The podium has been designed skilfully designed to integrate with the public domain vision to create a destination.

This includes the pedestrian focal point at the intersection which provides ample space to support the projected volume of pedestrians using this intersection, as well as a private internal laneway that allows customers to enjoy an outdoor seating whilst being protected from the elements, as shown in the figures below.

Figure 21: Public Plaza



Source: Woods Bagot

Figure 22: Proposed laneway



Source: Woods Bagot

5.4. NORTH SYDNEY DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN 2013

North Sydney Council has proposed draft amendments to the North Sydney Development Control Plan (North Sydney DCP) 2013. The changes seek to manage the increased height and density released in the State Government's St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Plan. The draft North Sydney DCP 2013 amendment is on public exhibition until 15 November 2022.

A review of the draft DCP controls for the site confirm that an inferior development outcome would be achieved under that scenario. One which would result in a long narrow built form that would substantially reduce access to daylight and the visual outlook, provided from the north to the western neighbours. This is demonstrated in the below figure.

Figure 23: Comparison between draft DCP envelope and proposed site-specific DCP envelope



Source: Woods Bagot

In response to this, a site specific DCP (**Appendix L**) has been prepared to accompany the Planning Proposal which will guide future detailed development on the site, providing greater certainty over the future development outcome for the site. The key aims and objectives of the site-specific DCP include:

- Future development at the site is to be designed generally in accordance with the building envelope diagram within the Design Report at Appendix A.
- Incorporate substantial landscaping across the podium level.
- Incorporate a void above the podium with a minimum height of 10m.
- The northern façade should be architecturally designed and include openings and window pop-outs or the like to minimise the extent of blank façade.
- Solar access must be maintained for 2 hours mid-winter to the western neighbours.

6. STRATEGIC CONTEXT

This section of the report identifies the relevant State and local strategic planning policies which are relevant to the site and the proposal. It outlines the key objectives, planning priorities and actions required to deliver the vision for the Greater Sydney Region, the North district, and the North Sydney LGA.

6.1. GREATER SYDNEY REGION PLAN: A METROPOLIS OF THREE CITIES

The Greater Sydney Region Plan (**the Region Plan**) provides the overarching strategic plan for growth and change in Sydney. It is a 20-year plan with a 40-year vision that seeks to transform Greater Sydney into a metropolis of three cities – the Western Parkland City, Central River City and Eastern Harbour City.

It identifies key challenges facing Sydney including increasing the population to eight million by 2056, 817,000 new jobs and a requirement of 725,000 new homes by 2036.

The Plan includes objectives and strategies for infrastructure and collaboration, liveability, productivity and sustainability. The Planning Proposal is consistent with the relevant objectives of Region Plan, as discussed in the following table.

Table 7 Consistency with the Greater Sydney Region Plan

Planning Priorities	Consistency
Direction 1: A city supported by infr	rastructure
<i>Objective 2: Infrastructure aligns with forecast growth Objective 4: Infrastructure use is optimised</i>	The proposed increase in density directly opposite (approximately 25m west) the Crows Nest Metro Station entrance, capitalises on the State Government's investment in transport infrastructure. Once complete, the Sydney Metro will provide a high frequency service connecting major employment hubs such as Macquarie Park, Chatswood and the North Sydney and Sydney CBDs. Delivering density in close proximity to public transport will help to drive better travel behaviour in future residents and workers, encouraging increased reliance on public transport. Redevelopment of the site, in accordance with the 2036 Plan and the payment of required SIC levies, provides necessary funding for the State Government to delivery new infrastructure to support the planned density within this Precinct.
Direction 2: A Collaborative City	
<i>Objective 5: Benefits of growth realised by collaboration of governments, community and business.</i>	The St Leonards and Crows Nest Precinct is identified as a 'Collaboration Area' and a Planned Precinct. The Planning Proposal will assist in the collaboration of government, community and business as follows:
	 Amalgamation of a large development parcel to unlock the ful development potential of the site to accommodate a tall tower form.
	Renewal of this site for a mixed-use development would assist government in contributing towards housing and

Planning Priorities	Consistency			
	• The Planning Proposal will positively contribute to the local community through adequate street setbacks, public domain upgrades, street tree planting, land uses that contribute to the 18 hour economy and a high quality built form outcome that positively contributes to the local and emerging character of the area.			
	• The Planning Proposal is consistent with the Design Criteria and the St Leonards and Crows Nest Local Character Statement.			
Direction 4: Housing the City				
Objective 10: Greater housing supply Objective 11: Housing is more	The GSRP provides housing targets for 2016- 2036 (Northern District), as per the following:			
diverse and affordable	• 0-5 year target (2016-2021): 25,950 additional homes ;			
	• 20-year (2016-2036): 92,000 additional homes .			
	The GSC has confirmed, through their review and assurance of the NS LSPS, that Council is forecasted to supply 2,835 dwellings for the period 2022 to 2026. To meet the mandated dwelling targets, Council is required to increase this supply to 3,000 – 3,500 dwellings during this period.			
	This Planning Proposal has the ability to deliver approximately 72 dwellings that would directly contribute to the dwelling supply needed to meet the dwelling targets for the district.			
	The concentration of density within those areas identified in the Plan will enable the retention of existing low-density residential areas surrounding Crows Nest, preserving local character and heritage buildings and is best located to maximise the benefits associated with the Metro.			
Direction 5: A city of great places				
<i>Objective 12: Great places that bring people together</i>	This Planning Proposal and the submitted Urban Design Report and Landscape Concept Report demonstrates how the sites' future redevelopment can positively contribute to and significantly enhance the public domain, to create a place for the people.			
	This includes a 5m setback at the corner of Hume Street and Pacific Highway to celebrate the street corner and provide greater breathing space for pedestrians. Set within the built form is an internal laneway with connecting glass pedestrian corridors above, providing for internal activation that is protected from the Pacific Highway and associated acoustic and wind conditions.			
	The street frontage will be lined with street trees and set within the podium façade will be a series of trees and cascading planters			

Planning Priorities	Consistency			
	to green the façade, which is reflective of the wider leafy green character of Crows Nest.			
	The proposal also includes a podium level that is partially open to the elements, creating a place for people to gather and enjoy. The commercial podium has been designed to support a range of future usages, which will ensure activation throughout the day and evening – which will in turn create lively and space spaces for pedestrians.			
Direction 6: A well-connected city				
<i>Objective 14: A Metropolis of Three</i> <i>Cities – integrated land use and</i>	Crows Nest is defined in the GSRP as forming part of the 'Eastern Economic Corridor.'			
transport creates walkable and 30- minute cities	The Planning Proposal positively contributes to this through the provision of increased commercial floor space on the site and improved floor plates to attract a range of tenants and safeguards employment floor space on the site.			
	Residential land uses will also be delivered, within the cantilevered tower above the commercial podium. This will assist in providing jobs close to home and optimising a liveable and walkable city.			
	The proximity of the site to existing and planned transport and the provision of a high-quality, dense development outcome on this site, directly aligns with the State Governments key objective of creating a 30-minute city.			
Direction 7: Jobs and skills for the o	city			
<i>Objective 22: Investment and business activity in centres</i>	This Planning Proposal will deliver 2,618m ² of commercial floor space and 6,800m ² of residential floor space opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station.			
	The commercial floorplate has been designed to be flexible and to accommodate a wide range of uses that will activate the streetscape whilst providing opportunities for food and beverage offerings that meet the needs of the local residents and those in the tower above.			
Direction 9: An efficient city				
<i>Objective 33: a low-carbon city contributes to net-zero emissions by 2050 and mitigates climate change</i>	The Planning Proposal facilitates the promotion of walkable neighbourhoods and low carbon transport options due to its proximity to the future Crows Nest Metro Station.			
	The site's proximity to public transport would provide opportunities for residents to conveniently use public transport thereby reducing private vehicle trip movements and assisting the objective to create low-carbon cities.			

6.2. OUR GREATER SYDNEY 2056: NORTH DISTRICT PLAN

The North District Plan is a 20-year plan to manage growth in the context of economic, social and environmental matters to implement the objectives of the Greater Sydney Region Plan. The intent of the District Plan is to inform local strategic planning statements and local environmental plans, guiding the planning and support for growth and change across the district.

The North District Plan reflects the broader vision of Sydney as a three-city metropolitan, and contains the following key metrics:

- Housing target The North District has a housing target of an additional 92,000 dwellings by 2036, with a total forecast dwelling count of 464,500.
- Job target The St Leonards/ Crows Nest area has a job target of 54,000- 63,500 by 2036, representing a minimum target of 7,000 new jobs over 20 years.

A description of how this Planning Proposal directly aligns with the relevant priorities of the North District Plan, are set out in Table 8 below.

Table 8 Consistency with the North District Plan

Planning Priorities	Consistency
<i>N1.</i> Planning for a city supported by infrastructure<i>N12.</i> Delivering integrated land use	The Planning Proposal leverages off the new Crows Nest Metro, providing employment and housing in close proximity to established and planned infrastructure.
and transport planning and a 30- minute city	The indicative travel time from Crows Nest Station will be 11 minutes to Central Station and 5 minutes to North Sydney, locating the site well within the desired 30 minute travel mode.
	The site is ideally located to adjacent to the Metro station, with the proposed mix of land uses support the growth of Crows Nest and activation of this revitalised Precinct.
 N5. Providing housing supply, choice and affordability, with access to jobs and services N6. Creating and renewing great places and local centres and 	The Planning Proposal will facilitate the delivery of new dwellings with access to public transport and employment opportunities. The Proposal can assist North Sydney Council in meeting the mandated housing targets, which the GSC requiring Council to delivery 3,000 – 3,500 dwellings between the 2022 – 2026 period.
respecting the District's heritage	Increased housing supply and the provision of an appropriate mix of 1, 2 and 3 bedroom apartments will provide greater housing choice and will assist in alleviating the housing pressure faced by the current under supply of available dwellings within accessible locations.
	The Planning Proposal fulfils the vision for the St Leonards / Crows Nest Precinct by creating a built form outcome that responds to the local character and overarching design principles that underpin the Plan.
	The vision includes public domain improvements that will significantly enhance this street corner, providing for increased pedestrian permeability, street tree planting and active retail uses that strengthen local connections and provide for enhanced walkability within the location area.

Planning Priorities	Consistency			
 N8 Eastern economic corridor is better connected and more competitive N10. Growing investment, business opportunities and jobs in strategic centres 	This substantial development parcel is located in a prime position, directly adjacent to the new Crows Nest Metro. The Planning Proposal will enable a 4-storey commercial podium 2,618m ² of commercial GFA) with desirable floor plates suited to a ange of commercial and retail uses, providing an attractive offerin or renewal and activation. The increased density on the site co-located to the new Metro means more people will have easy access to employment and with a rejuvenated urban environment, this will boost productivity. The Planning Proposal directly aligns with the State Government's vision for employment growth and urban renewal in this key strategic centre.			
N19. Increasing urban tree canopy cover and delivering Green Grid connections.	As illustrated in the submitted Landscape Plan (Appendix D), redevelopment of the site will result in increased tree canopy, with new street trees along both the Pacific Highway and Hume Street frontages. The landscape design also includes the provision of raised planter boxes within the street setback. The rear terraced commercial podium also provides ample opportunity for increasing tree canopy within the site, with small trees and landscaped planter boxes proposed along the periphery of the building.			

6.3. ST LEONARDS CROWS NEST 2036 PLAN

The St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Plan will facilitate the urban renewal of St Leonards and Crows Nest for an expanding employment centre and growing residential community in the suburbs of St Leonards, Greenwich, Naremburn, Wollstonecraft, Crows Nest, and Artarmon.

The following table details how the Planning Proposal aligns with the 2036 Plan, by responding to the area wide vision and adopting the built form parameters for the site.

Table 9 Consistency with St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan

Action	Planning Proposal Response	Compliance	
Area wide design principles and design criteria			
Place Site specific vision: introduce new laneways and public spaces	 The indicative concept design envisages a podium that responds to this key corner and provides for an attractive public domain and the integration of new laneways and public spaces deep within the site. The floor plates have been designed to attract F&B offerings that would contribute to the 18-hour economy. 	Yes	
	 The proposed building envelope has been designed to fit within the solar access controls, with neighbouring residents 		

Action	Planning Proposal Response	Compliance
	maintaining 2 hours solar access and no overshadowing to public open spaces or beyond the Plan boundary.	
Landscape Site specific vision: Amenities and terracing have been designed to create shared outdoor green spaces	ecific vision: ties and ng have been ed to createaccompanies this Planning Proposal and is attached at Appendix D.Future redevelopment of the site will incorporate street tree planting to Pacific Highway and Hume Street, as envisaged by the Plan and will improve pedestrian connectivity along the sites	
Built Form Site specific vision: A mix of retail, commercial and residential uses will contribute to the 18- hour economy	 The Plan includes the site within the high density area, located between St Leonards Station and Crows Nest Metro. The built form controls nominate a height of 24 storeys and an FSR of 7.5:1, inclusive of a non-residential FSR of 2:1. The Planning Proposal and built form outcome is largely compliant with the built form controls by way of the following: Relates to a large development parcel (1,309m² in area) that is highly suitable for a tall tower form of 24 storeys (RL176), as nominated in the Plan. Complies with the nominated FSR of 7.5:1. Complies with the nominated building setbacks (3m to Pacific Highway and 0m to Hume Street) and street wall heights (4 storeys) Whilst the site is not adjacent to a heritage conversation area, the western boundary adjoins land zoned R3 Medium Density with a mapped height of 4 storeys. The podium positively responds to this through the introduction of a landscaped terrace, that has been designed to comply with the 45 degree angled height plane, outlined in Council's DCP. This results in the western setback varying between 4.3m - 11.9m. The introduction of terracing to the rear podium results in a superior outcome comparative to a sheer 4 storey wall with a 4.5m - 7.5m setback. The proposed response reduces the perceived visual bulk impacts and aligning with the established built form to the north. The proposed treatment to the western boundary is consistent with the objective to transition heights adjacent to lower scale residential areas. Retains solar access to public open spaces and residential areas outside the Plan boundary. The proposed building envelope also retains the required 2 hours solar access to the western residential neighbours. 	Yes

Action	Planning Proposal Response	Compliance
Land Use Site specific vision: Activated retail integrated into the finer grain laneways will contribute to the local character.	Site specific vision: activated retail integrated into the iner grain lanewaysdwellings within the Precinct and 1,950 to 3,020 new jobs within Crows Nest.This Planning Proposal retains the sites B4 Mixed-Use zone while providing increased heights and density to achieve the State Governments vision by delivery approximately 72 new	
Movement Site specific vision: The scheme will strengthen Pacific Highway / Hume Street as a TOD, providing residents with easy commute to the city.	Future redevelopment of the site will include the provision of an end of trip facility that encourages the use of active transport. Public domain improvement works will be undertaken that strengthen the pedestrian linkages, further enhancing active transport modes. The site's location directly adjacent to the Crows Nest Metro and the improved public domain works will be a catalysed for increased public transport patronage.	Yes
Implementing the Pla	n	
Land Zoning The Plan maps the site as B4 Mixed Use. The Planning Proposal retains the sites land use zoning.		Yes
Building storey heigh	ht	
24 storeys	The indicative concept includes a 24 storey tower form that is located on the southern extent of the site. The Planning Proposal seeks to apply a maximum height of building control of RL176.	Yes
Floor Space Ratio (FS	SR)	
FSR: 7.5:1	The Planning Proposal is fully compliant with the maximum permissible FSR of 7.5:1.	Yes
Minimum non- residential FSR: 2:1	Consistent with the Plan, the Planning Proposal seeks to apply a minimum non-residential FSR of 2:1.	Yes

Action	Planning Proposal Response	Compliance	
Street wall height			
4 storey street wall height	Consistent with the Plan, the indicative concept includes a 4 storey street wall height to Pacific Highway and Hume Street.	Yes	
Setback			
3m setback to Pacific Highway			
Section 9.1 Direction			
Consistency with the Plan	The Planning Proposal directly aligns with vision for the site and achieves the objectives and actions of the Plan.	Yes	

6.4. NORTH SYDNEY LOCAL STRATEGIC PLANNING STATEMENT

On 24 March 2020, Council adopted the North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement (**LSPS**), which is part of the DPE mandated LEP review.

The LSPS sets out Council's land use vision, planning principles, priorities, and actions for the next 20 years. It outlines the desired future direction for housing, employment, transport, recreation, environment and infrastructure for North Sydney LGA.

The Planning Proposal can contribute to the visions and planning priorities outlined in the LSPS and this is demonstrated below.

Table 10 Consistency with North Sydney LSPS

Planning Priorities	nning Priorities Consistency				
Liveability					
L1: Diverse housing options that meet the needs of the North Sydney community	The Planning Proposal will broaden the range of housing choices provided in the LGA by accommodating residential apartments with a mix of apartment types to appeal to a wide range of household types.				
L2: Provide a range of community facilities and services to support a healthy, creative, diverse and socially connected North Sydney community	The public domain upgrades will contribute to a diverse and socially connected community. A mix of food and beverage, retail, commercial and residential uses will provide street activation spanning a wide variety of daily and evening hours.				
Productivity					
P1 – Grow a stronger, more globally competitive North Sydney CBD	The future development of the site can provide for diversity in commercial floor plate. The future redevelopment of the site can contribute by providing new retail land uses on the ground floor which will activate the public domain. Accordingly, this Planning Proposal can respond to Council's productivity objectives for St Leonards/ Crows Nest Precinct, by				

Planning Priorities	Consistency			
	providing the opportunity to accommodate a growing and evolving economy, that supports skills growth and attracts investment.			
P3: Enhance the commercial amenity and viability of North Sydney's local centres.	The Planning Proposal will provide space for commercial office and retail, and improve the amenity, services and appeal of the Crows Nest.			
P6: Support walkable centres and a connected, vibrant and sustainable North Sydney	The site is highly accessible to current and future public transport, which will optimise public transport usage and represent opportunities for land use density and diversity within a walkable distance to commercial, mixed-use and neighbourhood centres.			
	The location of the site and the concept design would support Council's 30-minute city vision and is a logical location for increased density from a transit-oriented development perspective.			
	By concentrating employment and housing growth in proximity to the new Crows Nest Metro Station this will support the desired integrated land use and transport model and encourage walkable centres.			

6.5. NORTH SYDNEY LOCAL HOUSING STRATEGY

On 10 May 2021, DPE approved the North Sydney Local Housing Strategy (**LHS**). DPE, in approval of the LHS, stated that the "*direction and strategic planning approaches endorsed in State-led precinct plans are to prevail in the event of any inconsistency with Council's LHS.*"

In implementing the LHS, Council is to prepare an implementation plan that is to include and reflect the strategic planning work Council commits to undertaking to implement the St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan.

This Planning Proposal has been prepared in direct response to the Plan and aligns with the visions, actions and objectives of the Plan.

Specifically, the State-led precinct plan has nominated sites for greater heights and densities which increases the capacity within the Plan boundary to accommodate 6,683 new dwellings. The Planning Proposal will delivery approximately 72 dwellings and 2,618m² of commercial GFA, contributing to the jobs growth target of 1,950-3,020 for Crows Nest.

In this regard, the Planning Proposal is consistent with the LHS, as it delivers housing and employment in an area identified for growth and on a key strategic site.

6.6. FUTURE TRANSPORT STRATEGY 2056

The *Future Transport Strategy 2056* outlines the vision for the Greater Sydney mass transit network, detailed Crows Nest within the 30 minute city. The Future Transport vision sets six state-wide outcomes to guide investment, policy and reform and service provision. They provide a framework for network planning and investment aimed at supporting transport infrastructure.

The site is well placed to gain from the future transport network, including the Crows Nest Metro (adjacent to the site) and the St Leonards train station (further north of the site), through both the frequency of transport services projected as well as upgraded infrastructure for all forms of mobility.

The opening of the Crows Nest Metro will be a catalyst for change, creating a new arrival and departure point and ultimately changing the way people move and will change the way people move around the area.

This Planning Proposal provides the opportunity to significantly enhance the quality of the public domain and create a key pedestrian focal point at, what is set to become, a key pedestrian node.

7. PLANNING PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT

The Planning Proposal request has been prepared in accordance with Section 3.33 of *the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (**EP&A Act**) and the DPE guidelines '*Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline*' dated September 2022.

This section addresses each of the matters outlined in the guidelines, including:

- Objectives and intended outcomes;
- Explanation of provisions;
- Justification including need for proposal, relationship to strategic planning framework, environmental, social and economic impacts and State and Commonwealth interests;
- Draft LEP maps which articulate the proposed changes;
- Likely future community consultation; and
- The project timeline.

7.1. PART 1 - OBJECTIVES AND INTENDED OUTCOMES

The primary objective of the Planning Proposal is to amend the NSLEP 2013 building height and FSR controls to deliver a high density, mixed-use tower form, as envisaged by the 2036 Plan. No change to the current B4 Mixed Use zoning is proposed.

The proposed amendments to NSLEP 2013 have the following objectives of enabling future development:

- Realise the development potential of this significant site, as envisaged by the 2036 Plan;
- Facilitate the amalgamation of four land parcels into a single development opportunity that is capable of delivery an exceptional urban design outcome to mark this corner location;
- Encourage development activity in this identified key location, supporting the evolution of this Precinct and contributing to the rejuvenation of Crows Nest.
- Provide a compatible mix of land uses that contribute to the creation of a vibrant and active community, including the potential for residential, commercial, retail directly adjacent to the Crows Nest Metro Station; and
- Integrate the site with the broader area through public domain improvements, streetscape activation and street tree planting. These public domain improvements will enhance the pedestrian permeability of the area and contribute to the key place and movement objectives of the 2036 Plan.

7.2. PART 2 - EXPLANATION OF PROVISIONS

The proposed outcome of the Planning Proposal will be achieved by amending the NSLEP 2013, as follows:

- Amend the NSLEP 2013 Height of Buildings Map to provide a building height control of RL176 across the site.
- Amend the NSLEP 2013 Maximum Floor Space Ratio Map to provide a maximum floor space ratio control of 7.5:1 across the site.
- Amend the NSLEP 2013 Minimum Non-Residential Floor Space Ratio Map to provide a minimum nonresidential floor space ratio control of 2:1 across the site.

The proposed mapping amendments are provided within Section 7.4 Part 4 – Mapping of this report.

7.3. PART 3 - JUSTIFICATION

Section A – Need for the planning proposal

Q1. Is the planning proposal a result of an endorsed local strategic planning statement, strategic study or report?

Yes – the site is subject to the 2036 Plan adopted by DPE. The plan specifies increased height and density uplift as well as specific built form controls. This Planning Proposal has been prepared to give effect to the intended development outcome identified for the site within the 2036 Plan. The 2036 Plan is accompanied by a Ministerial Direction that gives effect to the plan in the consideration of planning proposals and facilitates minor inconsistencies.

The Planning Proposal is also consistent with the North Sydney LSPS, (refer to Table 10).

Q2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

Yes. This Planning Proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives and intended outcomes for the site as:

- The Implementation Strategy outlined in the 2036 Plan directs Proponents to prepare planning proposals. The SLCN 2036 Plan states it will be the responsibility of each relevant Council to progress planning proposals through amendments to their respective local environmental plans to give effect to the built form recommendations in the Plan. North Sydney Council has elected not to advance a precinct wide planning proposal, therefore, landowner led Planning Proposals are required to achieve the vision of the 2036 Plan.
- This Planning Proposal is consistent with the built form controls of the 2036 Plan and is consistent with the overarching strategic direction. It is therefore reasonable to progress the Planning Proposal in accordance with the Implementation Strategy.

Other statutory measures to give effect to the objectives and intended outcome of the Planning Proposal were considered however were not pursued as the best means to achieve the intended outcome. These include:

- Lodging a Development Application under the current NSLEP controls
- 'Do nothing' wait for Council initiated Planning Proposal to amend NSLEP

Development Application

Lodging a Development Application was considered as the current B4 Mixed-Use zone permits the mix of land uses reflecting in the indicative concept scheme. However, the current LEP maximum building height control is 16m (approximately 5 storeys) and a minimum FSR of 1.5:1. These controls are now considered obsolete and not reflective of the local and State strategic planning direction for the site and thus would constitute an under-development of a strategically valuable site.

The strategic direction of the site is to accommodate a 24 storey tower. A Development Application could be submitted with a Clause 4.6 variation to the building height control, however this would be inconsistent with the Implementation Strategy of the 2036 Plan and would result in a significant departure from the current statutory control. In this instance, a Clause 4.6 would not be the most appropriate mechanism to achieve the intended outcome, as the magnitude of variation does not meet the intended application of clause 4.6.

Council has made it clear they do not wish to prepare a precinct wide planning proposal. It is therefore Council's preference that proponents submit Planning Proposals to seek amendments to the LEP controls having regard to the 2036 Plan. The Planning Proposal is largely consistent with the built form controls of the final 2036 Plan and is consistent with the strategic direction and vision of the 2036 Plan, so there is no reason to delay development on the site.

Therefore, a site-specific Planning Proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives and intended outcomes.

Section B – Relationship to strategic planning framework

Q3. Will the planning proposal give effect to the objectives and actions of the applicable regional, of district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

Yes – the Planning Proposal will give effect to the objectives and actions of the applicable regional and district planning policies and strategies as outlined in **Section 5** and as summarised in the following table.

Toble 11	Deletionship	+~	Ctratagia	Dianning	Fromowork
	Relationship	ιΟ	Siralegic	Planning	FIAMEWORK

Strategic Plan	Consistency
Greater Sydney Region Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities	Refer to Section 6.1. Crows Nest and St Leonards is identified as one of 15 growth areas and urban renewal corridors. This Planning Proposal would directly contribute to the dwelling supply needed to meet the dwelling targets for the North district. This Planning Proposal seeks to provide 2,618m ² of employment floor space and 6,800m ² of residential floor space in Crows Nest.
Our Greater Sydney 2056: North District Plan	Refer to Section 6.2. The site is strategically located directly opposite the Crows Nest Metro Station, which is currently under construction. The Metro Station will change the way people move around the area, and this Planning Proposal will enable a development outcome that supports the growth of St Leonards/ Crows Nest. The Planning Proposal will deliver greater housing and employment opportunities at the door step of the Metro Station, connecting people to jobs and creating an active transport hub within St Leonards Strategic Centre.
St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan	Refer to Section 6.3. This Planning Proposal has been prepared to give effect to the vision, objectives and actions of the 2036 Plan, as well the specific built form intent for the site. The intended outcome is a tower form that exhibits design excellence, activates the streetscape and creates a lush urban environment through the use of natural elements and landscape features throughout the podium form.

Assessment Criteria

The Planning Proposal addresses the Assessment Criteria within the DPE guidelines as summarised below:

(a) Does the proposal have strategic merit?

Yes – the Planning Proposal is consistent with the objectives and actions of the following strategies, demonstrating the strategic merit of the site:

- Greater Sydney Region Plan (Section 6.1)
- North District Plan (Section 6.2)
- St Leonards Crows Nest 2036 Plan (Section 6.3)
- North Sydney Local Strategic Planning Statement (Section 6.4)

- North Sydney Local Housing Strategy (Section 6.5)
- Future Transport Strategy 2056 (Section 6.6)
- (a) Does the proposal have site-specific merit?

Yes – the Planning Proposal has site-specific merit having regard to the following matters:

- Natural environment;
- Existing, approved and likely future uses; and
- Available and proposed services and infrastructure.

The site-specific merit of the Planning Proposal is discussed in **Section C – Environmental, social and economic impacts.**

Q4. Is the planning proposal consistent with a council LSPS that has been endorsed by the Planning Secretary or GSC, or another endorsed local strategy or strategic plan?

Yes – the Planning Proposal is consistent with the endorsed North Sydney LSPS (**Section 6.4**) and the North Sydney LHS (**Section 6.5**).

Q5. Is the planning proposal consistent with any other applicable State and regional studies or strategies?

As outlined in Section 6.6 of this report, the proposal is consistent with the Future Transport Strategy 2056. The site is well placed to gain from the future transport network, including the Crows Nest Metro (adjacent to the site) and the St Leonards train station (further north of the site), through both the frequency of transport services projected as well as upgraded infrastructure for all forms of mobility.

Q6. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

Yes – the Planning Proposal is consistent with relevant SEPPs as identified and discussed in the following table.

SEPP	Consistency
State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021	State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 (Resilience and Hazards SEPP 2021) provides the planning framework for the management of contaminated land in NSW.
	The site is currently zoned as B4 Mixed Use and is located in an urban environment. The present buildings have been established on the site since the late 1980's and the site conditions have remained largely unchanged.
	Clause 4.6 of the Resilience and Hazards SEPP 2021 states that a consent authority must not consent to development unless it has considered whether the land is contaminated and if required, it is satisfied that the land will be remediated before the land us used for that purpose.
	Whilst this Planning Proposal does not seek development consent for building works, a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) has been undertaken by Aargus and is provided at Appendix F.
	The PSI documents potential contaminants that may be present on site. These are considered to be of a low significance, including

Table 12 Consistency with SEPPs

SEPP	Consistency
	imported fill, pesticide use, former site activities, vehicle leaks and asbestos based building products.
	The PSI concludes that at the Development Application stage, and subject to a Detailed Site Investigation, the site can be made suitable for future redevelopment.
SEPP 65 Design Quality of Residential Flat Buildings	SEPP 65 provides a statutory framework to guide the design quality of residential flat developments. The indicative concept has been designed to facilitate future detailed building design in accordance with SEPP 65 and the accompanying Apartment Design Guide (ADG).
	Woods Bagot (Appendix A) have tested the performance of the building envelope and undertaken a preliminary assessment of the residential tower in relation to the matters prescribed under SEPP 65. This demonstrates that:
	 All residential apartments are capable of meeting the minimum room size and private open space requirements;
	 Minimum floor to ceiling heights of 2.7m will be provided, with floor to floor heights design at 3.2m;
	 At least 70% of apartments can achieve 2 hours solar access between 9am – 3pm on June 21st;
	 60% of apartments are capable of being naturally cross ventilated;
	 A communal open space area that is 25% of the site area can be provided at the podium level which will receive adequate solar access; and
	 ADG compliant separation distances can be achieved on the site.
	Refer to Section 7.3.5 for further discussion.
	Ultimately, a detailed assessment of SEPP65 compliance will be undertaken at the DA stage when the nuances of the detailed design have been developed.
SEPP (Buildings Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	The BASIX SEPP requires residential development to achieve mandated levels of energy and water efficiency.
	The indicative concept design has been designed with building massing and orientation to facilitate future BASIX compliance. This will be documented at the development application stage.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021	State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021 provides a consistent planning regime for infrastructure and the provision of services across NSW, along with providing for

SEPP	Consistency
	consultation with relevant public authorities during the assessment process.
	The application triggers assessment against Clause 2.103 Development near proposed metro stations. This is discussed within Section 3.5 of the Transport Report provided at Appendix G .

Q7. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.9.1 directions)?

Yes - the Planning Proposal is consistent with relevant Ministerial directions under Section 9.1 of the EP&A Act as identified and summarised in the following table.

Direction	Consistency
1. Planning Systems	
1.1 Implementation of Regional Plans	The Planning Proposal gives effect to the Greater Sydney Region Plan and the North District Plan in accordance with Direction 7.1.
	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the planning principles, directions and priorities contained in the Greater Sydney Region Plan. This is further discussed in Section 6.1 of this report.
1.2 Development of Aboriginal Land Council land	Not applicable
1.3 Approval & Referral Requirements	This is an administrative requirement for the PPA
1.4 Site Specific Provisions	The Planning Proposal has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Standard Instrument and in a manner consistent with the NSLEP 2013.
1.5 Parramatta Road Corridor Transformation Strategy	Not applicable
1.6 Implementation of North West Priority Growth Area Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	Not applicable
1.7 Implementation of Greater Parramatta Priority Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	Not applicable

Not applicable

Table 13 Consistency with Section 9.1 Directions

1.8 Implementation of Wilton Priority

Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan

Direction	Consistency
1.9 Implementation of Glenfield to Macarthur Urban Renewal Corridor	Not applicable
1.10 Implementation of Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan	Not applicable
1.11 Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan	Not applicable
1.12 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct	Not applicable
1.13 Implementation of St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Plan	Section 6.3 details how this Planning Proposal aligns with the 2036 Plan.
	This Planning Proposal achieves the vision, objectives and actions of the Plan and is consistent with this Ministerial Direction. This is summarised as follows:
	 Complies with the 7.5:1 FSR control.
	 Complies with the nominated building setbacks (3m to Pacific Highway and 0m to Hume Street) and street wall heights (4 storeys)
	 Does not overshadowing public open spaces and residential areas outside the Plan boundary.
	 The proposed building envelope also retains the required 2 hours solar access to the western residential neighbours.
	 The active fine grain retail lane and pedestrian focal point introduces a new laneway and public space to the Precinct and creates a hub at this key intersection. Relates to a large development parcel (1,309m² in area) that is highly suitable for a tall tower form of 24 storeys (RL176), as nominated in the Plan.
1.14 Implementation of Greater Macarthur 2040	Not applicable
1.15 Implementation of the Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy	Not applicable
1.16 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy	Not applicable
2. Design and Place	
3. Biodiversity and Conservation	
3.1 Conservation Zones	Not applicable
3.2 Heritage Conservation	The site has no identified or known items of European or Aboriginal significance, as such this provision is not applicable.

Direction	Consistency
	The locally listed 'Higgins Buildings' are located to the south of the site. The design of the concept design has given consideration to the Higgins Buildings, as further discussed in Section 7.3.9 .
3.3 Sydney Drinking Water Catchment	Not applicable
3.4 Application of C2 and C3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs	Not applicable
3.5 Recreation Vehicle Areas	Not applicable
4. Resilience and Hazards	
4.1 Flooding	Not applicable
4.2 Coastal Management	Not applicable
4.3 Planning for Bushfire Protection	Not applicable
4.4 Remediation of Contaminated Land	This Planning Proposal does not seek to change the B4 Mixed Use zoning applicable to the site. Notwithstanding, the Planning Proposal will enable the intensification of the site for commercial and residential purposes. Accordingly, a PSI has been prepared and is provided at Appendix F .
	As stated in Table 12 above, the site potentially contains low risk contamination associated with the existing buildings, which have been present on the site since the late 80's.
	As the site is fully developed, detailed investigations are unable to carried out at this stage. A DSI will be undertaken at the DA stage to verify any potential contaminations and, if required a remediation action plan can be prepared.
	Upon undertaken these further studies, it is determined that the site can be made suitable for the proposed land uses.
4.5 Acid Sulfate Soils	The site is not mapped as being subject to potential acid sulphate soils.
	The PSI has reviewed relevant mapping data provided by the NSW Department of Land & Water Conservation and this indicates that there "no known occurrence" of acid sulphate materials at the site and the presence of acid sulphate soils is unlikely.
4.6 Mine Subsidence & Unstable Land	Not applicable
5. Transport and Infrastructure	

Direction	Consistency
5.1 Integrating Land Use & Transport	The Planning Proposal is consistent with the direction for the following reasons:
The objective of this direction is to ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives: (a) improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and (b) increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and (c) reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and (d) supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and (e) providing for the efficient movement of freight	The site exhibits excellent access to public transport, being located opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station and existing bus services. The increased density on the site also supports the patronage of the future metro and accords with the key direction from the state government, which seeks to co-locate increased densities within the walker catchment of public transport nodes. The provision of increased housing supply within a walkable neighbourhood reduces the need for car dependency. The provision of residential accommodation adjacent to key employment and transport nodes encourages a walkable neighbourhood. The proposal would provide a new mix of employment opportunities (retail and commercial) within the North Sydney LGA, within close proximity to existing services and infrastructure.
5.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	The proposal does not seek to create, alter or reduce any existing zonings or reservations for public purposes.
5.3 Development Near Regulated Airports and Defence Airfields	The site is not located in close proximity to Sydney Airport however it is affected by the obstacle limitation surface (OLS) of 156m AHD, and the proposal seeks to exceed this. Accordingly the provisions of clause (4) of this Direction apply. The PANS-OPS surface is at 340m AHD and the OLS Outer Horizontal Surface is at 156m AHD. The proposed maximum permanent structure has a height of RL176, which protrudes within the OLS surface by 20m however is below the PANS-OPS surface by 160m, being the critical height limit. It is noted that at a height of RL176, any future development will penetrate the OLS and there will be classified as a "controlled activity" and will require assessment and approval from aviation authorities. These will be matters for consideration at the DA stage.
5.4 Shooting Ranges	Not applicable

Direction	Consistency	
6. Housing		
6.1 Residential Zones The objectives of this direction are to:	The Planning Proposal is seeking to broaden the range of hous choices provided in the LGA through the delivery of residential floor space that is capable of being developed with a mix of apartment typologies and layouts.	
 (a) encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs, 	The site is suitably located to accommodate a high rise residential development, as it is located opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station and meets residential amenity and locational criteria.	
 (b) make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and ensure that new housing has appropriate access to infrastructure and services, and (c) minimise the impact of residential development on the environment and resource lands. 	A mixed use development in this location would make efficient use of existing services and infrastructure. A B4 Mixed Use zone creates the potential to provide housing and to help meet infill housing targets, which reduce the need for land release on the metropolitan fringe. It also focuses new housing development in an identified urban renewal area, which benefits from excellent (existing and future) public transport service and improves accessibility.	
	Optimising high density residential accommodation in a strategic centre will minimise impacts on the natural environment or resource lands as the precinct and sites are already developed.	
	Future residential accommodation can be provided on the site without significantly impacting the land or neighbouring development.	
6.2 Caravan Parks & Manufactured Home Estates	Not applicable	
7. Industry and Employment		
7.1 Business & Industrial ZonesThe objectives of this direction are to:(a) encourage employment growth in suitable locations,	The Planning Proposal does not seek to change the B4 Mixed Use zone and increases the statutory minimum non-residential FSR requirement under NSLEP 2013 from 1.5:1 to 2:1. Therefore, the Planning Proposal seeks to increase employment generating land uses and upgrade to provide for more contemporary commercial space, thus is consistent with this Direction.	
(b) protect employment land in business and industrial zones, and(c) support the viability of identified centres.	The intention of the Planning Proposal is to optimise a development outcome onsite, by amending the built form controls to provide additional retail/commercial uses collocated with residential use.	
	The Planning Proposal facilities the provision of both increased residential densities and commercial spaces within the identified commercial centre, supporting the viability of the centre and encouraging employment growth in the area.	
7.2 Reduction in non-hosted short term rental accommodation period	Not applicable	

Direction	Consistency	
7.3 Commercial and retail development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast	Not applicable	
8. Resources and Energy		
8.1 Mining, Petroleum Production & Extractive Industries	Not applicable	
9. Primary Production		
9.1 Rural Zones	Not applicable	
9.2 Rural Lands	Not applicable	
9. 3 Oyster Aquaculture	Not applicable	
9.4 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast.	Not applicable	

Section C – Environmental, Social and Economic Impact

Q8. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

No. The site is fully developed for urban purposes and comprises little vegetation. As a result, there are no known critical habitats, threatened species or ecological communities located on the site and therefore the likelihood of any negative impacts will be negligible.

Q9. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

The site is free of major constraints. There are no likely environmental effects associated with the future development of the land that cannot be suitably mitigated through further design development.

Preliminary investigations have been undertaken as outlined below.

7.3.1. Built Form and Context

Surrounding context

The skyline of Crowns Nest is beginning to transform, with the construction of Crows Nest Metro underway and the recent approval of the OSD concept envelopes being key catalysis for the transformation. The finalisation of the 2036 Plan sets a vision for this strategic corridor. Building forms will soon emerge which reflect the State Governments objectives for the area.

The proposed concept envelope includes a slender tower form (588m² GBA floor plate) of 24 storeys, which will accentuate this key corner site, providing a southern marker to the Crows Nest and St Leonards Precinct, as illustrated in Figure 24.

This key tower site is the first tall tower as viewed from the southern entrance to St Leonards centre, with the concept design demonstrating a high-quality architectural outcome that embodies the key characteristics for this Precinct. The tower is appropriately located on the site, providing a transition between the future 18 storey building envelope to the south and the 4 storey buildings to the west.



Source: Woods Bagot

The urban block – future development scenarios

Following the preliminary feedback from Council, an analysis was undertaken into the potential redevelopment options for this urban block and the most appropriate placement of tower forms.

The tower envelope testing and study undertaken by Woods Bagot is contained in Chapter 3 of **Appendix A**. Excerpts are provided below, demonstrating that:

- If 398 Pacific Highway was to be redeveloped for a tall tower form, and the strict application of ADG compliant separation distances were applied, it would produce a floor plate of only 166m². This results in an unrealistic 'pencil' tower form that is unviable and unprecedented and would not achieve the increased FSR available to the site.
- If 402- 420 Pacific Highway was to be redeveloped for a tall tower form, and the strict application of ADG compliant separation distances were applied, in combination with the FSR and height controls, this would produce a floor plate of 650m², similar to that proposed on the site. The ideal location of the tower placement would be at the northern corner.
- If 398- 420 Pacific Highway was to be redeveloped as a whole development site, and two towers were developed, this would produce floor plates of 482m². This presents as an unrealistic scenario due to the small floor plates and the degree of overshadowing caused by the Crows Nest OSD on this site.
- The most likely scenario would be a single tower form at the northern end of this block, which has a large floor plate and is able to maximise views, solar access, apartment orientation and building separation.

This is consistent with the findings of the SJB Urban Design Report which indicated that this urban block would be redeveloped with two tower forms, marking the southern and northern corners of the block.

Figure 25: Possible redevelopment options for this urban block



Picture 14: 398 Pacific Highway



Picture 16: 398 – 420 Pacific Highway – 2 towers Source: Woods Bagot



Picture 15: 402-420 Pacific Highway



Picture 17: 398-420 Pacific Highway - single tower

Tower placement and design

As illustrated in the accompanying Urban Design Report (Appendix A), Woods Bagot have tested variable locations and orientation for the placement of the tower. The analysis confirms that it is most appropriate to align the tower on a north-south axis and adopt the podium setbacks nominated in the plan.

This results in a northern setback of 6m which is compliant with the separation distance required on the site for non-habitable rooms, to achieve compliance with the ADG separation distances. Noting the above possible development scenarios, it is unlikely that a tower form would be redeveloped on the immediately adjoining lot and therefore this setback would still allow for adequate separation distances between the site and any future redevelopment scenario within the remaining block, avoiding tower crowding. The studies demonstrate that there is sufficient space within this urban block for 2 tower forms with separation distances greater than 24m.

The site specific DCP (Appendix L) provides requirements for the design of the northern façade to ensure that it does not present as a blank wall.

As illustrated in Figure 26, the tower envelope has been designed to cantilever the commercial podium, providing breadth between the building forms which allows for natural light and ventilation to filter through the site and into the amenities level.

The tower envelope will provide a positive addition to the evolving Crows Nest skyline and is of a contextual appropriate height, bulk and scale, sitting comfortably adjacent to the OSD tower.

Figure 26: Indicative southern elevation illustrating the cantilevered tower and appropriate contextual scale



Source: Woods Bagot

Podium design

The existing buildings within this urban block have a generally consistent podium datum of 4 storeys, with a nil setback to Pacific Highway. Adopting the design guidance of the 2036 Plan, the podium includes a 4 storey building envelope that wraps around Pacific Highway and the Hume Street frontages. This aligns with the existing context and future desired context of Hume Street.

In accordance with the Plan, a 3m setback to Pacific Highway has been provided, with an additional 5m corner setback at the intersection of Hume Street and Pacific Highway, creating a pedestrian focal point. This key design move allows for ample space within the public domain for the projected increased pedestrian activity whilst providing opportunities for tree canopy cover.

This increased setback is defined by a break in the podium form, with the transition in setbacks providing an appropriate urban design response both for the site, and to those existing buildings with a nil setback.

The podium break creates an internal laneway, providing the commercial / retail uses within the podium with greater internal / external activation whilst also being protected from the elements. Pedestrian linkages at the upper levels of the podium connected the two building forms.

The design of the podium facade is accentuated by vertical forms with cut outs, that allows for greenery to emerge through the façade, breaking up the mass of the building whilst contributing to the leafy green character of the area.

At the rear of the site, the podium provides an 8m average weighted setback. The average 8m weighted setback allows for the western façade to include a modulated building form, with three vertical proportions, comprising a 6m setback, an 8m setback and a 10m setback.

This podium response incorporates cascading landscaped planters to soften the visual outlook for the western neighbours as the building form steps back. This is considered a superior outcome opposed to an increased setback and a full 4 storey façade with a 4.5m - 7.5m setback, which would provide limited visual relief and be perceived as a bulky building form.

Figure 27: Podium design



Picture 18: As viewed from Hume St & Pac Highway



Picture 19: Western podium response

Source: Woods Bagot

Source: Urbis

7.3.2. Overshadowing

Retaining solar access to public open space and residential areas is a key objective of the 2036 Plan. An analysis of the cumulative impacts associated with the existing and approved overshadowing of building envelopes has been undertaken by Woods Bagot.

As the Planning Proposal seeks to deliver a tall, slender building, this will ultimately result in a thinner and faster moving shadow. This is evident in the overshadowing diagrams in Figure 28 below, which shows that any overshadowing would be limited to an approximate 2 hour window, meaning these properties would be able to retain a compliant degree of solar access. The overshadowing does not extend past the boundary of the 2036 Plan boundary.

Within the immediate context, the site is located directly west of the Crows Nest OSD. A Stage 1 building envelope has been approved by the DPE. A review of that building envelope confirms that, without development on the subject site, this OSD envelope results in significant overshadowing to the residential land uses to the west of the site. A future building envelope on this site would not result in any additional overshadowing to those neighbours to the immediate west of the site. The properties directly south of the site, would also continue to receive an adequate degree of solar access across the day, noting that overshadowing from the site to the direct south would be limited to 1.5-2 hours in the afternoon.

There is no overshadowing of public open spaces as a result of the building envelope. Detailed solar and overshadowing studies will be undertaken at the DA stage.

Figure 28: Overshadowing diagrams

Picture 20: 9am - 11am on June 21st



11am

Picture 21: 12pm - 3pm on June 21st

Source: Woods Bagot

In addition to retaining solar access to residential properties, the 2036 Plan states solar access must be retained residential areas outside the boundary of the Plan boundary. As demonstrated in the diagrams above, the shadow of the concept design will not extend beyond the boundary of the Plan.

7.3.3. Landscape

Public Domain

The 2036 Plan and associated Local Character Statement establishes a vision for the Crows Nest and surrounding streets to become active pedestrian and cycling areas, with increased permeability and connectivity and leafy green streets. As documented in the Concept Landscape Report prepared by Urbis (**Appendix D**), this is achieved through greater linkages, increased building setbacks to accommodate wider and safer pedestrian pathways, street tree planting and active building edges. The indicative public domain outcome delivers on this vision, through the following initiatives:

- The concept design incorporates a 3m setback to the Pacific Highway to allow for improvements to the public domain, pedestrian permeability and street tree planting.
- Landscaped planter beds with integrated timber bench seating.
- An anchor corner tenancy which will activate the Hume Street and Pacific Highway corner and provide a sense of arrival
- Retention of existing street trees and new canopy trees.

Any final public domain plan will be delivered in accordance with Council's public domain policy.

Figure 29: Public domain concept plan



Source: Urbis

Site Landscaping

The concept landscape plan and overall indicative concept focuses on biophilic design response which introduces green walls and a series of cascading planters within the internal laneway to create an intimate and intriguing space. This forges the built and natural environments, creating a human centred approach.

This design philosophy is carried out throughout the built form and is reflected in the cascading planters that are integrated into the stepped podium setback, landscaping that emerges through the podium façade and the ridge planting at the podium level. Not only will this serve as an important function for the users of the site but provides a positive and well considered response to the site's relationship with lower density residential land uses.

Figure 30: Concept site landscaping opportunities



Source: Woods Bagot

7.3.4. Visual Impact

The character of the subject site and immediate visual context is transitioning from predominantly lower commercial buildings to taller mixed-use towers.

The visual effects of the concept design will be predominantly restricted to the closest locations and adjacent roads including Hume Street and the Pacific Highway. The upper part of the tower form will be visible from distant locations.

Visual impact can be appropriately managed through design with particular consideration being given to wellscaled and proportioned street edge and human scale design. Materials and finishes will be further developed to respond to those in the surrounding environment to reduce any perceived visual impacts.

7.3.5. Residential Amenity

A Concept Urban Design has been prepared by Woods Bagot (**Appendix A**) to test the performance of residential use on the subject site with regard to the requirements of *State Environmental Planning Policy No* 65—Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development and the accompanying Apartment Design Guide (ADG).

The preliminary analysis confirms that a future residential tower is capable of achieving compliance with Part 3 and Part 4 of the ADG, resulting in a compliant degree of amenity for future residents. Based on the indicative apartment layout, Woods Bagot concluded the following:

• **Solar access:** At least 70% of apartments will receive at least 2 hours of direct sunlight on June 21 between 9am and 3pm and a maximum of 15% of apartments receive no direct sunlight on June 21.

- **Natural ventilation:** At least 60% of apartments will be naturally cross ventilated in the first nine storeys of the building.
- Minimum ceiling heights: habitable rooms will have a minimum ceiling height of 2.7m and nonhabitable rooms will have a minimum ceiling height of 2.4m. The building envelope allows for floor to floor heights of 3.2m.
- Minimum apartment sizes: Minimum apartment sizes will be achieved. Final apartment layout will be subject to the detailed DA stage. The indicative floor plates show apartments achieving or exceeding the minimum requirements.
- Minimum sizes of balconies: The concept development is capable of complying with the ADG minimum sizes. Balcony locations have been designed to maximum solar and/ or views.
- **Communal open space:** The concept includes an expansive communal open space deck at the podium level which is 25% of the site and is capable of achieving adequate solar access.
- Common circulation: The maximum number of apartments off a circulation core is five (5).
- **Storage**: Each apartment will have access to a private storage space via a combination of space within the apartment or secure storage cage within the basement levels.
- Acoustic privacy: Adequate separation is provided within the development and from the neighbouring buildings. Internal acoustic privacy will be subject to detailed design development. Preliminary acoustic advice has been considered and is discussed in Section 7.3.7.
- Building separation:
 - North: as discussed above, the northern boundary is designed as a non-habitable façade. The
 proposed 6m setback is fully compliant with the requirements of the ADG. As outlined in the sitespecific DCP at Appendix L, future development at the site is to incorporate design treatments to
 avoid the appearance of a blank façade. These include a combination of elements such as:
 - High level windows;
 - Openings with privacy screens;
 - Articulated material forms.
 - West: The western boundary adjoins the R3 Medium Density Residential zone. These properties are identified as being suitable for a 4 storey development. The building envelope and indicative podium design response departs from the strict numerical requirements of the ADG, which encourages an additional 3m separation, beyond the standard requirements. This would produce setbacks ranging from 4.5m (non-habitable non-habitable) to 7.5m (habitable habitable). The site has a width of 30m. The combination of the required front setback and the rear setback would produce a 19.5m wide floor plate. Taking into consideration site access, this results in a significantly constrained floor commercial floorplate. This is unrealistic in the context of this urban site.

The key objective of this control is to provide a suitable relationship and transition between sites of lower density. By adopting Council's DCP controls for such interfaces, a more appropriate urban design response is achieved. Rather than having a 6m – 9m setback with a sheer 4 storey wall, the podium elects a terraced style setback, as per the DCP. The prevalence of the DCP controls over the ADG is evident on the existing buildings to the north and is reflective of the outcomes and vision in the SJB Urban Design Report.

As outlined in Section 3.3.3, the proposal has been designed to adopt an 8m average weighted setback within the tower form. It is noted that the tower has no direct interface relationship with any future building form to the west and therefore the strict application of the ADG separation distances is not necessary as there is no direct privacy issues. Nonetheless the average 8m weighted setback allows for the western façade to include a modulated building form, with three vertical proportions, comprising a 6m setback, an 8m setback and a 10m setback.

The location of the 6m setback is within the southern section of the building, where the podium includes a higher proportion of built elements and therefore retention of the setback in this location ensures that the podium vertical plant elements remain secondary in the view, with the overhang increasing the definition between podium and tower.

The location of the 10m setback is in the northern section, where there is no building form within the podium level. This allows for the substantial landscaping to be exposed to the sky and will be more readily visible from the west.

7.3.6. Traffic and Parking

Ason Group have prepared a Transport Assessment which accompanies the Planning Proposal at **Appendix G**. The assessment describes the existing local traffic context and assesses the impacts of the Planning Proposal on the existing road and public transport network.

Traffic Generation

Based on the planned future residential, retail and commercial uses, the proposal is expected to generate a total of 10 trips in the morning peak hour and 5 trips in the evening peak hour. These additional traffic movements have been assessed to have a negligible impact on the local road network.

Ason Group conducted an intersection performance assessment modelled in LinSig. The assessment concluded that the Pacific Highway and Hume Street is currently performing with sufficient operations which indicates spare capacity. Ason Group conclude that the Planning Proposal will have a negligible impact on the Pacific Highway and Hume Street intersection.

Given the proximity of the site to public transport corridors such as the future Crows Nest Metro Station and bus stops along the Pacific Highway, it is anticipated that many residents will utilise active and public transport to access employment, amenities and services, rather than drive.

A draft Green Travel Plan has been prepared and is attached at **Appendix K** which includes commitments for any future development application to encourage and promote active transport and increase public transport patronage.

Parking

As the DCP parking requirements are maximum rates, the site is capable of accommodating a suitable degree of on-site parking reflective of its accessible location. Any proposed parking will not exceed the maximum spaces as stipulated in the North Sydney DCP 2013. The total number of parking spaces will be subject to DA consideration

Access

Consolidated access to the site will be provided along Hume Street, retaining the site's existing access arrangements. All parking, servicing and loading will be accommodated within the basement levels to maximise employment-generating floor space at ground level.

7.3.7. Acoustic

Stantec Australia have prepared an Acoustics Report which accompanies the Planning Proposal at **Appendix H**. Stantec assessed the impact from the surrounding environment on the development and the impact of the development on its surroundings.

The site fronts the Pacific Highway, a major aerial road. The north-eastern façade fronting the Pacific Highway and some corner apartments of the adjacent facades will be most impacted by traffic noise. The predicted noise level inside apartments with a façade facing Pacific Highway is 58 dBA with no acoustic mitigation measures. Stantec conclude that incorporating a partially enclosed balcony with an acoustic absorptive soffit will provide a 10 dB reduction to the apartments.

Stantec recommend the following design solutions to mitigate noise impacts relating to traffic on the Pacific Highway:

- All balconies on the façade facing pacific highway are to have a partially enclosed balcony with an
 acoustically absorptive soffit.
- On the Hume street façade balconies on levels 5 to 15 are to have a partially enclosed balcony with an acoustically absorptive soffit.
- On the Hume street façade balconies on levels 16 to 23 are to have a balcony with solid balustrade, and absorptive soffit.

Potential noise generated on the site has been assessed and it is found that the future design and treatment of all building services associated with a mixed-use development can be acoustically treated to ensure all noise emissions from the future development can comply with the relevant criteria.

Overall, it is demonstrated that the site is suitable for the height and density of development proposed from an acoustic and vibration perspective and any future development can be designed to mitigate acoustic and vibration impacts.

Further detailed acoustic testing will be carried out at the DA stage when the internal apartment layout and design is further developed.

7.3.8. Wind

Windtech has prepared a Pedestrian Wind Environment Statement which accompanies the Planning Proposal at **Appendix C**. The report includes testing to determine the potential wind impacts and assess the pedestrian safety and amenity. An analysis of the wind effects has been conducted considering the predominant wind directions for the area, building morphology of surrounding development and the land topography.

Windtech conclude that the wind impacts identified on the site can be reduced through implementation of the following mitigation measures:

- Awning or tree planting along Hume Street frontage.
- Localised planter boxes or screens around seating areas.
- Canopy or landscaping over laneway openings.
- Landscaping within and around the level 5 pool deck and residential amenities terrace.
- Wind screening around the level 5 pool deck and residential amenities terrace.
- End screens for corner balconies.

The indicative design concept has incorporated these measures. The wind testing will be further developed at the DA stage to ensure an adequately level of pedestrian and residential comfort is achieved.

7.3.9. Heritage

The site does not contain any heritage listed items under Schedule 5 of the *NSLEP 2013*, nor is it located within a heritage conservation area. A group of locally listed heritage buildings (the 'Higgins building') are located to the south of the site, on the southern side of Hume Street.

The Higgins Buildings have been identified for uplift under the 2036 Plan, having a maximum building height of 18 storeys and a FSR of 5.5:1. It is foreshadowed that this site is likely to be redeveloped. Notwithstanding, the design of the podium and the separate anchor building is considered to provide an appropriate response to the heritage item.

Any perceived interface issues with the Higgins Building would be subject to detailed design of the podium at the DA stage.

Q10. Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

Yes. The Planning Proposal is considered to have a number of social and economic benefits. Through economic benefits, the public can benefit through job creation and additional commercial and retail services. The economic and social benefits are summarised as follows:

- Creates indirect and direct construction jobs: The site's redevelopment would result in full time construction jobs, with workers on the site also supporting local businesses.
- **Ensures ongoing employment:** The commercial and retail land uses will support additional employment generating floor space.
- Facilitates renewal of a key site: The Planning Proposal enables the renewal of an outdated and underdeveloped commercial building situated on a corner block opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station.

- **Improved public domain and ground floor activation**: The inclusion of commercial and retail floor podium will activate the site, contributing to a sense of place and activity through the day and night.
- Economic benefits associated with future residential density: Increased residential density would contribute to increased retail turnover and the activation of a night time economy. Such density is required to realise the vision for an active economy and support the State government investment of the Sydney Metro.

Section D – State and Commonwealth interests

Q11. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

Yes. Preliminary investigations indicate that:

- The Planning Proposal leverages from the future Crows Nest Metro station. As envisioned by the 2036
 Plan, the future redevelopment of this key site has the potential to contribute to a transit orientated
 development and enhance walking and cycle connections between the stations.
- Preliminary traffic investigations confirm that there is sufficient capacity within the road network and the indicative yield is likely to have a negligible impact on the road network.
- The site is serviced by existing utility services and is located to allow incoming residents and workers to capitalise on the existing and planned infrastructure and services within the area.
- The preliminary services infrastructure report (Appendix I) confirms that there is sufficient capacity within the existing network to accommodate the proposed density.
- As per the DPE implementation plan, a SIC will be paid at DA stage to fund district wide infrastructure upgrades to support new growth in the centre. This will be paid in accordance with the St Leonards and Crows Nest SIC Plan.

Q12. What are the views of state and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway determination?

North Sydney Council has been consulted prior to the submission of this Planning Proposal, as discussed in Section 3. It is acknowledged that North Sydney Council will consult with relevant public authorities following the Gateway determination.

7.4. PART 4 - MAPPING

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the following NSLEP 2013 Maps:

- Height of Buildings Map Sheet HOB_001
- Maximum Floor Space Ratio Map FSR_001
- Minimum Non-Residential Floor Space Ratio Map LCL_001.

The proposed amendments to the LEP maps are provided in the figures below and contained in **Appendix** J.

Figure 31: Proposed LEP Mapping Amendments





Picture 23: Proposed HOB Map



Picture 22: Existing HOB Map



Picture 24: Existing FSR Map



Picture 26: Existing Min Non-Residential FSR Map

Picture 25: Proposed FSR Map



Picture 27: Proposed Min Non-Residential FSR Map

Source: Urbis

7.5. PART 5 - COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Section 3.34 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* requires the relevant planning authority to consult with the community in accordance with the gateway determination.

In accordance with the requirements of "*Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline*," it is expected that the Planning Proposal will be publicly exhibited for 28 days. As part of the Gateway Determination, consultation will be undertaken with any relevant agencies and stakeholders.

7.6. PART 6 - PROJECT TIMELINE

The following table sets out the anticipated project timeline in accordance with the DPE guidelines. The key milestones and overall timeframe will be subject to further detailed discussions with Council and the DPE.

Table 14 Anticipated Project Timeline

Process	Indicative Timeframe
Planning Proposal submitted to North Sydney Council	December 2021
Consideration of Planning Proposal by Sydney North Planning Panel	August – October 2022
Gateway Determination	December 2022
Post Gateway	January 2023
Public exhibition & review of submissions	January 2023 – April 2023
Finalisation of Planning	May 2023 – June 2023
Gazettal of LEP	9 months from issue of Gateway Determination
8. CONCLUSION

This Planning Proposal seeks an amendment to the North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 to establish planning controls that would enable high density mixed use development at 378- 390 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest.

This Planning Proposal has been prepared in response to the SLCN 2036 Plan endorsed in August 2020 by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment.

This Planning Proposal is largely consistent with the recommended planning controls in the 2036 Plan, including building height in storeys, street wall height, residential FSR, non-residential FSR, ground floor setbacks and solar protection.

The Planning Proposal sets out the justification for the proposed LEP amendment. It is supported by a Concept Design Report that includes site and context analysis, which informed the Planning Proposal and to demonstrate the proposal is suitable in its locality.

It is considered that the proposed amendments to NSLEP 2013 would result in a superior development outcome and generate significant economic and community benefit for the following reasons:

- Local context: The Planning Proposal is considered to have site-specific merit, as it facilitates future development that would achieve an appropriate built form and scale outcome for this amalgamated site opposite the future Crows Nest Metro Station.
- **Strategic context**: The Planning Proposal has strategic planning merit, as it would positively contribute to the achievement of State and Local Government strategic planning goals, including the 2036 Plan.
- Community benefits: The Planning Proposal has the potential to create a range of benefits for the community, including:
 - Enabling new housing to be accommodated within the site, which increases housing choice and diversity in close proximity to the Metro and St Leonards and Crows Nest area.
 - Providing new commercial office space and ground level food and beverage and retail shops. The activated ground floor will strengthen the Crows Nest character as a highly desirable place to live, work and socialise.
 - Direct economic benefits and the creation of additional employment, during the construction stage and ongoing operations.
- Environmental impacts: The Concept Design has been designed to minimise adverse environmental impacts such as privacy, wind and traffic on the locality. The Planning Proposal has assessed shadow impact to nearby residents and has demonstrated that the proposed density will not create an unreasonable amount of overshadowing on the public domain.

In summary, it is considered that the Planning Proposal would result in significant public benefits by proposing planning controls that are consistent with the SLCN 2036 Plan to facilitate the redevelopment of a key urban renewal site.

DISCLAIMER

This report is dated November 2022 and incorporates information and events up to that date only and excludes any information arising, or event occurring, after that date which may affect the validity of Urbis Pty Ltd (**Urbis**) opinion in this report. Urbis prepared this report on the instructions, and for the benefit only, of Futuro No.1 Pty Ltd (**Instructing Party**) for the purpose of Planning Proposal (**Purpose**) and not for any other purpose or use. To the extent permitted by applicable law, Urbis expressly disclaims all liability, whether direct or indirect, to the Instructing Party which relies or purports to rely on this report for any purpose other than the Purpose, and to any other person which relies or purports to rely on this report for any purpose.

In preparing this report, Urbis was required to make judgements which may be affected by unforeseen future events, the likelihood and effects of which are not capable of precise assessment.

All surveys, forecasts, projections and recommendations contained in or associated with this report are made in good faith and on the basis of information supplied to Urbis at the date of this report, and upon which Urbis relied. Achievement of the projections and budgets set out in this report will depend, among other things, on the actions of others over which Urbis has no control.

In preparing this report, Urbis may rely on or refer to documents in a language other than English, which Urbis may arrange to be translated. Urbis is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of such translations and disclaims any liability for any statement or opinion made in this report being inaccurate or incomplete arising from such translations.

Whilst Urbis has made all reasonable inquiries it believes necessary in preparing this report, it is not responsible for determining the completeness or accuracy of information provided to it. Urbis (including its officers and personnel) is not liable for any errors or omissions, including in information provided by the Instructing Party or another person or upon which Urbis relies, provided that such errors or omissions are not made by Urbis recklessly or in bad faith.

This report has been prepared with due care and diligence by Urbis and the statements and opinions given by Urbis in this report are given in good faith and in the reasonable belief that they are correct and not misleading, subject to the limitations above.

APPENDIX A URBAN DESIGN REPORT

APPENDIX BSURVEY PLAN

APPENDIX C

WIND ENVIRONMENT STATEMENT

APPENDIX D

LANDSCAPE PLANS

APPENDIX E

SUMMARY COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX F PRELIMINARY SITE INVESTIGATION



APPENDIX G TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX H ACO

ACOUSTIC REPORT

APPENDIX I SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE REPORT

APPENDIX J PROPOSED LEP MAPPING

APPENDIX K DR

DRAFT GREEN TRAVEL PLAN

APPENDIX LSITE SPECIFIC DCP